Grant County Board of Supervisors November 13, 2018

The Grant County Board of Supervisors met on Tuesday, November 13, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. in Room 264 on second floor of the Administration Building, Lancaster, WI pursuant to the adjournment of the October 2, 2018 meeting.

Robert Keeney, County Board Chair called the meeting to order and the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Verification of compliance with the open meeting law was a notice in the Herald Independent stating the date, time and place of the County Board Meeting and posted in two public posting locations and the county website verified by Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk.

Roll Call, November 13, 2018

Mon Can, November 13	<u>, 2010</u>		
	Present	Absent	Excused
Carol Beals	Χ		
John Beinborn	X		
Ronald Coppernoll	X		
Gregory Fry	X		
Roger Guthrie	X		
Dale Hood	X		
Lester Jantzen	X		
Robert Keeney	X		
Mike Lieurance	Χ		
Dwight Nelson	X		
Gary Northouse	Χ		
John Patcle	X		
Gary Ranum	Χ		
Robert Scallon			X
Donald Splinter	Χ		
Mark Stead	X		
Porter Wagner	X		

The Clerk took the roll call resulting in 16 present and Robert Scallon had asked to be excused. Therefore a quorum was present.

<u>Agenda</u>: Lester Jantzen, seconded Roger Guthrie made a motion to approve the agenda as printed. Motion carried.

Minutes: John Beinborn, seconded by Dwight Nelson made a motion to approve the minutes of the October 2, 2018 meeting as printed. Motion carried.

<u>Communications</u>: Chair Keeney congratulated the Clerk of Courts Office; they had a screening by the United State Government on issuing passports and received 100% on passport circulation.

Chair Keeney congratulated the Health Department for receiving a patient satisfaction award.

Chair Keeney announced Law Enforcement will be holding a retirement party for Jerry Letcher who has been a part time deputy for many years, the party will be held next week.

The Cardinal Hickory Creek Project is now in the scoping phase of the Public Service Commission; if any of the County Board Members are interested in attending, there are two meetings scheduled for November 14, 2018 at the Youth and Ag Building, one at 2:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. on the environmental impact.

<u>Employee Recognition(s)</u>: Chair Keeney asked Ed Breitsprecker, Jr to come forward and receive his certificate of appreciation for 31 years of service to the Grant County Law Enforcement. Ed stated through the years he had opportunities to work other places; he is proud of his career with Grant County and is glad he stayed.

<u>Appointment(s)</u>: Chair Keeney asked for approval to appoint Caroline Vandewiel as the Disability Representative for ADRC.

John Beinborn, seconded by Lester Jantzen, made a motion to approve the appointment. Motion carried.

<u>Grants</u>: Amy Miller, Health Department asked for approval to apply for the DOT Child Passenger Safety Seat Grant. Funding will go to promote injury prevention in children by purchasing and distributing free or low cost child passenger safety seats to low income families and checking seat installation. The funding period is 10/01/2018 to 09/30/2019 for an amount of \$2,845.00 with an in kind match for the County which will be satisfied by staff time.

Carol Beals, seconded by Gary Ranum, made a motion to approve the DOT Child Passenger Safety Seat Grant for the Health Department for a total of \$2,845.00. Motion carried.

<u>Resolution Requesting Increased Funding and Oversight Reforms for Wisconsin's Child Protective Services System</u>: Fred Naatz, Social Services presented the resolution. The resolution was recommended for approval by the Social Service Committee.

Greg Frey, seconded by Porter Wagner, made a motion to approve Resolution 16-18 requesting increase funding and oversight reforms for Wisconsin's Child Protective Services System. Motion carried.

Resolution 16-18

Requesting Increased Funding and Oversight Reforms for Wisconsin's Child Protective Services System

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin child welfare system is county-operated and state-supervised, except Milwaukee County, where the system is administered by the Wisconsin Department of Children and Families (DCF), Division of Milwaukee Child Protective Services (DMCPS); and

WHEREAS, DCF provides insufficient funding to counties for the provision of child abuse and neglect services including prevention, investigation, treatment, and out-of-home placement costs, though the state has primary responsibility for compliance with federal requirements and shares liability for ensuring the system is meeting its obligations to children and families in all 72 counties; and

WHEREAS, in recent years the state of Wisconsin added numerous mandates and practice expectations which increased county child protective services (CPS) workload and costs; and

WHEREAS, the opioid and methamphetamine epidemics have brought Wisconsin's child welfare system to a point of crisis, with increasing concern about the system's ability to meet its obligations to

children and families; and

WHEREAS, the capacity for counties to continue to bear the lion's share of financial responsibility to address this crisis has been exhausted, as rising county contributions to the CPS system have far outpaced increases to the DCF Children and Family Aids allocation and counties have used reserve funding to cover CPS expenses and increase staffing; and

WHEREAS, maintaining sufficient resources for Wisconsin's child welfare system is critical to secure the safety and future of our most vulnerable children; and

WHEREAS, without a proportional increase in the DCF Children and Family Services allocation, the CPS system has been stressed for over a decade, causing caseloads for CPS workers to grow to unreasonable levels, contributing to high levels of staff turnover in some counties and an overrun of out-of-home care costs above what counties can sustain within available resources; and

WHEREAS, Wisconsin's CPS system leaves significant gaps in state-level oversight for all counties except Milwaukee County, including the absence of caseload standards, no process for regular legislative evaluation and prioritization of CPS needs and the absence of a legislative committee that provides regular policy guidance concerning CPS system issues such as adequate funding, performance, cost sharing and long-term stability; and

WHEREAS, along with DMCPS, all eleven of Wisconsin's peer states with county-administered CPS systems have either adopted caseload standards for CPS caseworkers, completed thorough workload studies as a basis of determining funding needs, or otherwise have made significant recommendations related to keeping CPS workloads manageable; and

WHEREAS, the children within Wisconsin's CPS system are too important to allow the current level of under resourcing, oversight gaps and, disparity of attention, while shifting the burden to property taxpayers.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Grant County Board of Supervisors does hereby request that the state of Wisconsin increase the Children and Family Aids Allocation to counties in the 2019-21 state biennial budget by \$30 million annually in order to cover a greater share of out-of-home care costs and increase staffing levels based on the caseload standards developed by the Wisconsin County Human Services Association (WCHSA) so Wisconsin's CPS system can meet its obligations; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Wisconsin Counties Association urges the state of Wisconsin to close critical oversight gaps by creating legislative mechanisms to review the CPS resource needs of all counties as part of the biennial budget process and ensure an appropriate committee provide ongoing policy guidance to respond to emerging CPS trends and ongoing system needs; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be sent to Governor Scott Walker, Department of Children and Families Secretary Eloise Anderson, Department of Administration Secretary Ellen Nowak, area legislators, and the Wisconsin Counties Association.

Presented and approved this 7th day of November 2018 by the Social Services Board:

/s/ John Beinborn, Chairperson /s/ Dale Hood, Vice Chairperson /s/ Donald Splinter /s/ Dwight Nelson

/s/ Greg Fry

ATTEST: I, Linda Gebhard, do hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors on November 13, 2018.

Linda Gebhard, County Clerk

<u>Resolution in support of Funding for Next Generation 911 Upgrades</u>: Nate Dreckman, Sheriff presented the resolution to the County Board of Supervisors, the resolution was recommended for approval by the Law Enforcement Committee.

A motion was made by John Beinborn, seconded by Porter Wagner, to approve Resolution 17-18 for funding for Next Generation 911 upgrades for Law Enforcement. Motion carried.

Resolution 17-18 Grant County Board Resolution Approved this 13th Day of November, 2018 by Grant County

Funding for Next Generation 911 Upgrades

WHEREAS, modernizing Wisconsin's 911 System has been a priority for local governments, Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs), law enforcement. And the telecommunications industry since early 2000s; and

WHEREAS, the 2017-19 state biennial budget provided funding for creation of a statewide emergency services IP network (ESInet), which is the first step in the transition to a digital Next Generation 911 (NG011) system; and

WHEREAS, a NG911 system utilizes advancements in technology to improve communication with 911 operators and provides greater efficiency in assisting individuals in crisis; and

WHEREAS, once the ESInet is operational, local 911 centers (PSAP) will require NG911 capable equipment to connect to the digital system; and

WHEREAS, currently very few PSAPs have the equipment necessary to utilize the ESInet and transition from analog to digital systems; and

WHEREAS the average cost for the necessary equipment upgrades will exceed \$250,000.00 per county in addition to ongoing maintenance cost; and

WHEREAS, while the state has provided grant funding for counties in the past to upgrade local PSAPs, the funding has not existed in over a decade; and

WHEREAS, the state currently has a fund – the police and fire protection fee – whose original intent was to fund county PSAP upgrades; and

WHEREAS, revenue from the Police and Fire Protection Fee is deposited in the state's general fund and has never been utilized for its intended purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Grant County does hereby urge the Governor and Wisconsin State Legislature to allocate \$7 million annually for counties to upgrade local 911 centers to begin the NG911 transition; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the \$7 million for county PSAP upgrades should be funded through the existing Police and Fire Protection Fee.

Accepted and approved this 13th day of November, 2018, by the Grant County Board Supervisors.

BOARD OF SUPERVISERS BY:

/s/ Robert C. Keeney, County Chair

ATTEST:

I, Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that this resolution was adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on November 13, 2018.

/s/ Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk

Resolution establishing Non-Represented wages for 2019, fiscal impact \$400,320: Joyce Roling, Personnel Director presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for approval by the Executive Committee.

A motion was made by Mark Stead, seconded by Gary Ranum to approve the resolution establishing Non-Represented wages for 2019. A roll call vote was called for.

Resolution No. 18-18 Resolution Establishing Non-represented Wages for 2019

Whereas, the Executive Committee of the Grant County Board of Supervisors recommends establishing the salary and wages for the non-represented employees of Grant County for 2018.

Whereas, the Executive Committee recommends a 2% across the board wage increase effective January 1, 2018.

Now, therefore be it resolved, that the wage and salary schedules for the non-represented employees be adjusted for a 2% across the board increase effective January 1, 2019.

Recommended for passage by the Executive Committee of the Grant County Board of Supervisors.

/s/ John Patcle, Chair/s/ Robert Keeney/s/ Mark Stead, Vice Chair/s/ John Beinborn/s/ Mike Lieurance, Secretary/s/ Gary Ranum

/s/ Donald Splinter

Adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors this 13th Day of November, 2018.

/s/ Linda Gebhard, County Clerk

Estimated Fiscal Impact: \$400,320

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Establishing Non Represented waged for 2019.

YES NO ABSENT EXCUSED

1. GARY RANUM
X
2. GARY NORTHOUSE
X
3. ROBERT SCALLON
X

		YES	NO	ABSENT	EXCUSED
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	Χ			
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	Χ			
6.	JOHN PATCLE	Χ			
7.	GREGORY FRY	Χ			
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ			
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	Χ			
10.	MARK STEAD	Χ			
11.	DALE HOOD	Χ			
12.	DWIGHT NELSON	Χ			
13.	CAROL BEALS	Χ			
14.	LESTER JANTZEN	Χ			
15.	JOHN BEINBORN	Χ			
16.	DONALD SPLINTER	Χ			
17.	PORTER WAGNER	Χ			

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes votes and 1 excused. Therefore the motion carried.

<u>Resolution Establishing Grant County Board Chair Salary for 2019</u>: Joyce Roling, Personnel Director presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for approval by the Executive Committee.

Resolution No. 18-19 Resolution Establishing Grant County Board Chair Salary for 2019

Whereas, the Executive Committee of the Grant County Board of Supervisors recommends establishing the salary for the Grant County Board Chair.

Whereas, the salary for the Grant County Board Chair for 2018 is \$49,442.

Whereas, the Executive Committee recommends a 2% increase effective January 1, 2019.

Now, therefore be it resolved, that the salary for the County Board Chair with the 2% increase be \$50,431 effective January 1, 2019.

Recommended for passage by the Executive Committee of the Grant County Board of Supervisors.

/s/ John Patcle, Chair Robert Keeney (abstained)
/s/ Mark Stead, Vice Chair Carol Beals
/s/ Mike Lieurance, Secretary /s/ Gary Ranum
/s/ Donald Splinter

Adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors this 13th Day of November, 2018.

/s/ Linda Gebhard, County Clerk

Estimated Fiscal Impact: \$1,129

In discussion on this item, Carol Beals did not think it was appropriate to increase the County Board Chair salary midterm. She felt this position should be treated the same as any Constitution Officer which is an elected position and their salaries must be set prior to the distribution of nomination papers for running for office.

Dale Hood stated this seems to be a gray area; he quoted Andy Phillips an attorney from the Wisconsin Counties Association, "If It Smells, It Is Probably Unethical."

Corporation Counsel Ben Wood stated because the Board Chair is an elected positon within the county board pay can be increased or cut by the board at any time. The County Board Member is elected by the people who would fall under the rules having to set their salary before taking office; the County Board Chair is elected by the County Board which makes it different. He went on to say, if the county decided to hire a County Administrator, at that time the Board could cut the salary of the County Board Chair.

Gary Ranum stated at a future meeting this item should be added on the agenda so it can be discussed by the County Board to set up guidelines for clarity in the future on this issue.

Roger Guthrie stated that at the Administrative Committee meeting it was stated there was interest in bringing back discussions on a County Administrator in future meetings also.

John Beinborn stated in efforts to save money for the County there have been things taken away from the County Board members in the past, he did not feel the County Board Chair position should be protected from those circumstances.

Greg Fry stated he felt the salaries should be decided on the work that the person is doing. Carol Beals stated this issue is only on the salaries for the County Board Chair, it does not have anything to do with the person or how well the person is carrying out their duties.

A motion was made by John Patcle, seconded by Mike Lieurance to approve Resolution 19-18 establishing the 2019 County Board Chairs salary. A Roll Call Vote was called for.

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Establishing 2019 County Board Chairs wage.

	YES	NO	ABSENT	EXCUSED
GARY RANUM	Χ			
GARY NORTHOUSE	Χ			
ROBERT SCALLON				Χ
ROBERT KEENEY (abstained)				
ROGER GUTHRIE	Χ			
IOHN PATCLE	Χ			
GREGORY FRY	Χ			
RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ			
MIKE LIEURANCE	Χ			
MARK STEAD	Χ			
DALE HOOD		Χ		
DWIGHT NELSON	Χ			
	GARY NORTHOUSE ROBERT SCALLON ROBERT KEENEY (abstained) ROGER GUTHRIE OHN PATCLE GREGORY FRY RONALD COPPERNOLL MIKE LIEURANCE MARK STEAD DALE HOOD	GARY RANUM GARY NORTHOUSE ROBERT SCALLON ROBERT KEENEY (abstained) ROGER GUTHRIE OHN PATCLE GREGORY FRY X RONALD COPPERNOLL MIKE LIEURANCE MARK STEAD DALE HOOD	GARY RANUM X GARY NORTHOUSE X ROBERT SCALLON ROBERT KEENEY (abstained) ROGER GUTHRIE X OHN PATCLE X GREGORY FRY X RONALD COPPERNOLL X MIKE LIEURANCE X DALE HOOD X	GARY RANUM X GARY NORTHOUSE X ROBERT SCALLON ROBERT KEENEY (abstained) ROGER GUTHRIE X OHN PATCLE X GREGORY FRY X RONALD COPPERNOLL X MIKE LIEURANCE X DALE HOOD X

	YES	NO	ABSENT	EXCUSED
13. CAROL BEALS		Χ		
14. LESTER JANTZEN		Χ		
15. JOHN BEINBORN		Χ		
16. DONALD SPLINTER	Χ			
17. PORTER WAGNER	Χ			

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 11 Yes Votes, 4 No Votes, 1 abstained and 1 excused. Therefore motion carried.

Progress Report, Discussion & Possible action on Grant County Community Services Facility: Brad Bierman, EPIC gave an update on the new facility. Most of the Landscaping is done, paving roads with first coat, painting is 90% done, hard floors are in, ceiling grid and cabinets are being installed, carpets will be installed last. Some metal work on the top corridor left to comp1ete. In the next week the permanent power meter for the utilities will be started. Sewer and water are connected, looking forward to turning the heat on in the near future.

ADRC will be moved first in 2019, and then Social Services. Law Enforcement will take more time for the 911and security systems to be up and running. Hoping to move Law Enforcement in April and then move in the inmates last.

a). Change orders: None

b). Demolition RFP for 52 Building: Brad Bierman, EPIC distributed an RFP to demolish the 52 Building to the Board Members. The County will have to decide what items will be left in the building and what will be taken out so the complete scope can be relayed to the Demolition Companies. The items in question would be boiler, generators, fixtures, the elevators will have to be decommissioned, etc. Brad's timeline for the bid process would be:

November 29, 2018	Mandatory vendor conference
December 13, 2018	Proposals due by 2:00 p.m. to the County Clerk
December 18, 2018	County Board Approval
April 2019	Begin Demolition

Brad stated there would have to be a HazMat Company come in for the abatement process to remove any hazardous materials, this would have to be under a separate contract.

Brad stated that by leaving the equipment in the building and letting the demolishing company recycle the items; they would have better outlets to get rid of the items and it could help save some cost on the demolishing project.

A motion was made by Roger Guthrie, seconded by John Beinborn, contingent on Corporation Counsel approval; to approve the requests for proposals for demolition services on the 52 Building presented by Brad Bierman, EPIC and continue to move forward in the bidding process. Motion carried.

c). Support Building and Tower outside of Project Scope: Was not addressed at this meeting.

Discussion/possible action on Easement Acquisitions for the City of Lancaster for Sewer extension: No action was taken on this item. Chair Keeney updated the Board on the process. The easement acquisition process is moving forward; Chair Keeney, Ben Wood, Dave Lambert, and Jay Adams, surveyor will be meeting weekly with the city of Lancaster and their engineer. The land owners have concerns on trees and Ag lands. All the DNR permits have been approved, they are hoping by next month all the easement acquisition will be complete. All plans are done and approved there is a couple of species that need to be protected, the Ozark minnow fish and the Blanchard's Cricket Frog which there may have to be a biologist present when going through the creek. Hopefully next month action can be taken in this item.

<u>Zoning Amendment</u>: <u>549th Zoning Amendment</u>: Justin Johnson, presented the amendments to the Board, all have been approved by the Townships and Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Committee.

Gary Northouse stated he would be abstaining from any action on this item.

A motion was made by Mark Stead, seconded by Lester Jantzen, to approve the following Zoning Amendment as presented. Motion carried with one abstention.

549th AMENDMENT TO THE GRANT COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE November 13th, 2018

WHEREAS, a petition for map amendment was filed and a public hearing was held by the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Committee, meeting the requirements of Chapter 59.69 (5) (e) 2.

WHEREAS, a proof of publication and giving notice to each affected township clerk of such hearing is attached to this document. Chapter 59.69 (5) (e) 4

WHEREAS, the Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Committee now recommends to the Board of Supervisors of Grant County the adoption of the following map amendments.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Grant County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows. That the Zoning District Map for Grant County will be amended to reflect the following:

PETITION #18-14: Rezone request for Level Valley Farms LLC, PIN: 024-00795-0000 to change the zoning classification from FP to A2, to allow for the use of a nonfarm residence. This is for +/- 4.80 ac in the NE ¼ of the SW ¼ of Section 36, T7N, R2W, in Hickory Grove Township

PETITION #18-15: Rezone request for Victor & Tamala Powell, PIN: 042-00373-0000 to change the zoning classification from A2 to R1, to allow for the proposed division of three lots. This is for +/- 5.47 ac in the SW ½ of the NW ½ of Section 10, T8N, R1W, in Muscoda Township

Adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors this 13th day of November, 2018

/s/ Robert Keeney, County Board Chairman /s/ Attest: Linda Gebhard, County Clerk

Resolution Authorizing the County Treasurer to Quit Claim Tax Parcel 048-00395-0000 in the Town of Patch Grove to former owner: Carrie Eastlick, Treasurer presented the resolution to the Board for approval. This resolution was recommended for passage by the Executive Committee.

Gary Ranum seconded by Gary Northouse, made a motion to approve Resolution 20-18 quit claim for the following described parcel back to Nicole N. Sabet, the former owner. A Roll Call Vote was asked for.

RESOLUTION NO. 20-18

The Grant County Board of Supervisors herein authorizes the Grant County Treasurer to Quit Claim to the former owner, Nicole N Sabet, the following described piece or parcel of land, lying and being situated in the County of Grant, to-wit:

Lot Nine (9) of Certified Survey Map No. 360 said lot being located in the NE ¼ of the SE ¼ and the SE ¼ of the SE ¼ of Section 23, Township 6 North, Range 5 West of the 4th P.M., Grant County, Wisconsin, recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds for Grant County, Wisconsin, in Volume 2 of Certified Surveys, page 228 on January 26, 1994.

ALSO INCLUDING a non-exclusive easement for ingress and egress over land described in Certified Survey Map No. 356 of parts of Sections 23 and 26 of Township 6 North, Range 5 West of the 4th P.M., Grant County, Wisconsin, recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds for Grant County, Wisconsin, in Volume 2 of Certified Surveys, page 214 on January 10, 1994.

TAX KEY PARCEL NUMBER(S): 048-00395-0000 Property Address: 12984 Canyon Falls Rd

Said property had been acquired by Grant County by means of a Tax Deed (Exhibit 1) as referenced in Ordinance # 39 (Exhibit 2). As outlined under Grant County Ordinance Section 242-3, Repurchase option, subsections A and B have been satisfied with Nicole Sabet having paid to the Grant County Treasurer all delinquent taxes, accumulated interest charges and all costs incurred by Grant County in proceedings necessary to obtain and file the tax deed in the amount of \$1,728.21. Therefore, the Grant County Board of Supervisors exercises the repurchase option as listed in Section 242-3 of the Grant County Code.

Accepted and approved this 13th day of November, 2018, by the Grant County Board Supervisors.

BOARD OF SUPERVISERS BY:

/s/ Robert C. Keeney, County Chair

ATTEST:

I, Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that this resolution was adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on November 13, 2018.

/s/ Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Quit Claim parcel to former owner.

		YES	NO	ABSENT	EXCUSED
1.	GARY RANUM	Χ			
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE	Χ			
3.	ROBERT SCALLON				X
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	Χ			
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	Χ			
6.	JOHN PATCLE	Χ			
7.	GREGORY FRY	Χ			
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ			
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	Χ			
		YES	NO	ABSENT	EXCUSED

10. MARK STEAD	Х
11. DALE HOOD	Χ
12. DWIGHT NELSON	Χ
13. CAROL BEALS	Χ
14. LESTER JANTZEN	Χ
15. JOHN BEINBORN	Χ
16. DONALD SPLINTER	Χ
17. PORTER WAGNER	Χ

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

<u>Sale of Tax Deed parcels</u>: Carrie Eastlick presented the parcels to the Board; all were recommended for passage by the Executive Committee. Roll call votes were called for on all parcels.

Parcel #107-00146-0000, 514 Canal Street, Village of Bloomington

Rich & Jan Enterprises, tax years of 2014-2017

Amount of Delq. Taxes: \$2,372.95

Appraisal: \$4,000.00

Bid: Terry Mergen for \$500.00 for demolition of the building

A motion was made by Mark Stead, seconded by Porter Wagner to approve the sale of tax deed 107-00146-000 to Terry Mergen for demolition.

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Sale of tax deed 107-00146-0000

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
1. GARY R	ANUM	Χ		
2. GARY N	IORTHOUSE	Χ		
3. ROBER	T SCALLON			X
4. ROBER	ΓKEENEY	Χ		
5. ROGER	GUTHRIE	Χ		
6. JOHN P	ATCLE	Χ		
7. GREGO	RY FRY	Χ		
8. RONAL	D COPPERNOLL	Χ		
9. MIKE LI	EURANCE	Χ		
10. MARK S	STEAD	Χ		
11. DALE H	OOD	Χ		
12. DWIGH	T NELSON	Χ		
13. CAROL	BEALS	Χ		
14. LESTER	JANTZEN	Χ		
15. JOHN B	EINBORN	Χ		
16. DONAL	D SPLINTER	Χ		
17. PORTER	R WAGNER	Χ		

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

Parcel #111-00332-0000, Landlocked, Village of Cassville

James Budworth, tax years of 2009-2017

Amount of Delq. Taxes: \$2,182.11

Appraisal: \$0.00

Bid: Wings Over WI for \$30.00

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Sale of Tax Deed 111-00332-0000

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
1.	GARY RANUM	X		
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE	X		
3.	ROBERT SCALLON			X
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	Χ		
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	Χ		
6.	JOHN PATCLE	Χ		
7.	GREGORY FRY	Χ		
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ		
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	X		
10	. MARK STEAD	X		
11.	. DALE HOOD	X		
12.	. DWIGHT NELSON	X		
13.	. CAROL BEALS	Χ		
14.	. LESTER JANTZEN	X		
15.	. JOHN BEINBORN	X		
16	. DONALD SPLINTER	X		
17.	. PORTER WAGNER	Χ		

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

Parcel #111-00362-0000, 613 East Amelia Street, Village of Cassville

Dolores Hanlon, tax years of 2014-2017

Amount of Delq. Taxes: \$6.022.88 (tax) \$831.68 (Spec)

Appraisal: \$39,000.00

Bid: Rosemary Gjerdingen for \$21,030.00

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Sale of Tax Deed 111-00362-0000

			YES	NO	EXC	CUSED
1.	GARY RANUM		Χ			
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE		Χ			
3.	ROBERT SCALLON					Χ
4.	ROBERT KEENEY		Χ			
		YES	1	NO	EXCUSED	

5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	Χ
6.	JOHN PATCLE	Χ
7.	GREGORY FRY	Χ
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	Χ
10.	MARK STEAD	Χ
11.	DALE HOOD	Χ
12.	DWIGHT NELSON	Χ
13.	CAROL BEALS	Χ
14.	LESTER JANTZEN	Χ
15.	JOHN BEINBORN	Χ
16.	DONALD SPLINTER	Χ
17.	PORTER WAGNER	Χ

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

Parcel #042-00069-0000, Lot 54, Town of Muscoda

Peter Schwanzfeier, tax years of 2011-2017

Amount of Depq. Taxes: 1,067.08

Appraisal: \$9,500.00 Bid: Baoli Liu for \$8,330.00

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Sale of Tax Deed 042-00069-0000

	YES	NO	EXCUSED
GARY RANUM	X		
GARY NORTHOUSE	Χ		
ROBERT SCALLON			X
ROBERT KEENEY	Χ		
ROGER GUTHRIE	Χ		
JOHN PATCLE	Χ		
GREGORY FRY	Χ		
RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ		
MIKE LIEURANCE	Χ		
MARK STEAD	Χ		
DALE HOOD	Χ		
DWIGHT NELSON	Χ		
CAROL BEALS	Χ		
LESTER JANTZEN	Χ		
JOHN BEINBORN	Χ		
DONALD SPLINTER	Χ		
PORTER WAGNER	X		
	GARY NORTHOUSE ROBERT SCALLON ROBERT KEENEY ROGER GUTHRIE JOHN PATCLE GREGORY FRY RONALD COPPERNOLL MIKE LIEURANCE MARK STEAD DALE HOOD DWIGHT NELSON CAROL BEALS LESTER JANTZEN JOHN BEINBORN DONALD SPLINTER	GARY RANUM GARY NORTHOUSE ROBERT SCALLON ROBERT KEENEY X ROGER GUTHRIE JOHN PATCLE GREGORY FRY X RONALD COPPERNOLL MIKE LIEURANCE MARK STEAD DALE HOOD DWIGHT NELSON CAROL BEALS LESTER JANTZEN JOHN BEINBORN X X X X X X X X X X X X X	GARY RANUM GARY NORTHOUSE ROBERT SCALLON ROBERT KEENEY ROGER GUTHRIE JOHN PATCLE GREGORY FRY KNONALD COPPERNOLL MIKE LIEURANCE MARK STEAD DALE HOOD DWIGHT NELSON CAROL BEALS LESTER JANTZEN JOHN BEINBORN X X X X X X X X X X X X X

County Clerk took the roll call resulting in 16 Yes votes, 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

<u>Convene to Public Hearing</u>: John Beinborn, seconded by Don Splinter made a motion to adjourn the County Board of Supervisors meeting to go into the Public Hearing. Motion carried.

<u>Public Hearing</u>: Nancy Scott, Finance Director presented the 2019 proposed budget to the Board of Supervisors recommended for passage by the Executive Committee. She stated there were a few changes from the budget that had been sent in the County Board packets. There is an update to the Bridge Aid with final numbers and \$7500.00 has been added to the Personnel Department to send 4 employees to Wisconsin Local Government Leadership Academy in 2019.

The total 2019 budget is \$49,627,000 which is an increase of 16 million dollars from last year because of building costs. The budget includes the following:

- Staff costs assume an across the board increase of 2% on January 1, 2019 for nonrepresented employees
- New positions included in the budget are
 - 1 IT Technician
 - 1 Maintenance worker, Law Enforcement
 - 1 Dispatcher, Law Enforcement
 - 3 Jailers, Law Enforcement
 - 2 Jail Corporals, Law Enforcement
 - 1 Nursing Compliance Coordinator, Health Department
- Communication Tower for Law Enforcement
- 600 million is included for Capital Expenditure for improvements at Orchard Manor that will be paid for out of the Farm Account.

Nancy highlighted some things that are included in the Debt service are as follows:

- Unfunded Retirement loans that will be paid off in 2023 and 2026 for Orchard Manor.
- Levy limits allow the county to increase the levy by the amount of net new construction which is 1.71%. This compares to 1.42% for 2018 and 1.28% for 2017. The county is also allowed to increase the levy for the debt service payments on the new general obligation debt. The total general obligation debt payment for 2019 for the new building \$1,724,842. The ten million in debt issued by the county in 2018 was sold at a premium of \$111,380 which the county is required to use toward debt payments. The financing plan calls for the county to apply the \$779,625 previously levied each year for capital projects to help minimize the levy increase for the debt payments. As a result, the levy increase for the new debt for 2019 will be \$833,836. For this reason, the county is \$779,625 under the allowable levy limit for 2019 but will not be adding funds to the capital project fund until this debt is paid off.
- The budget assumes sales tax will increase 2% over projected 2018 receipts.
- Shared revenue and computer tax aid are budgeted to decrease \$19,000 over the 2018 budget based on estimates from the Department of Revenue. This is due in part to the continuing phase out of utility aids for the Cassville plants. Personal property taxes previously included in the levy are now paid as a state aid.
- * Carry overs are being used to pay for one-time costs

<u>Reconvene to County Board</u>: Don Splinter, seconded by Mike Lieurance made a motion to reconvene into the Grant County Board of Supervisors meeting. Motion carried.

<u>Adoption of Budget</u>: Gary Ranum, seconded by Porter Wagner made a motion to adopt the proposed 2019 Budget as presented; roll call vote was called for.

Roll Call Vote

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Approval of the 2019 Budget for Grant County

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
1.	GARY RANUM	X		
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE	Χ		
3.	ROBERT SCALLON			X
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	Χ		
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	Χ		
6.	JOHN PATCLE	Χ		
7.	GREGORY FRY	Χ		
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ		
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	Χ		
10.	MARK STEAD	Χ		
11.	DALE HOOD	Χ		
12.	DWIGHT NELSON	Χ		
13.	CAROL BEALS	Χ		
14.	LESTER JANTZEN	Χ		
15.	JOHN BEINBORN	X		
16.	DONALD SPLINTER	Χ		
17.	PORTER WAGNER	X		

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes Votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

<u>Resolution: Regarding General Tax Levy</u>: Nancy Scott, Finance Director presented the following resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Executive Committee.

A motion was made by Roger Guthrie, seconded by Gary Northouse to approve the following Resolution as presented. Roll Call Vote was called for.

RESOLUTION #21-18 GENERAL TAX LEVY

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors of Grant County, Wisconsin, that the following sums of money be raised for the ensuing year:

State Charitable and Penal Charges	\$	1,086.82
Library System	\$	448,265.00
All Other County Taxes	<u>\$1</u> :	2,080,729.00
GRAND TOTAL OF ALL TAXES	\$1	2,530,080.82

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors of Grant County, Wisconsin, that there is hereby levied on all taxable property of Grant County, in order to meet the correct budget requirements of the ensuing year the sum of \$12,080,729.00; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk shall apportion the sum of \$1,086.82 for state charges levied on all taxable property in the county, according and in proportion to the valuation thereof as determined by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the sum of \$448,265.00, the amount approved for the budget of the Library System, be and hereby is levied against all taxable property in the county, excluding the Villages of Bloomington, Cassville, Dickeyville, Hazel Green, Livingston, Montfort, and Muscoda, and excluding the Cities of Boscobel, Cuba City, Fennimore, Lancaster, and Platteville.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk be authorized and instructed to charge back to the proper districts of the said County the amounts due from each miscalculated personal property taxes, illegal real estate taxes, special assessments tax certificates, sundry items due from the districts and any items on the ledger as due from the districts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk shall, pursuant to Section 70.63, of the Wisconsin Statutes, determine and apportion the tax levy set forth for the respective municipalities, according and in proportion to the valuations for the towns, villages, and cities as submitted by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue.

Presented and recommended for passage on this 6th day of November, 2018 by the Executive Committee of the Grant County Board of Supervisors, Lancaster, Wisconsin.

/s/ John Patcle, Chair	/s/ Mark Stead, Vice-Chair
/s/ Gary Ranum, Secretary	/s/ Carol Beals
/s/ Robert Keene	/s/ Mike Lieurance
/s/ Donald Splinter	

ATTEST:

I, Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that this resolution was adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on November 13, 2018.

/s/ Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Approval of the General Tax Levy resolution

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
1.	GARY RANUM	Χ		
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE	Χ		
3.	ROBERT SCALLON			X
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	Χ		
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	Χ		
6.	JOHN PATCLE	X		
7.	GREGORY FRY	X		
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	X		
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	X		
10.	MARK STEAD	X		

	YES	NO	EXCUSED
11. DALE HOOD	Χ		
12. DWIGHT NELSON	Χ		
13. CAROL BEALS	Χ		
14. LESTER JANTZEN	Χ		
15. JOHN BEINBORN	Χ		
16. DONALD SPLINTER	Χ		
17. PORTER WAGNER	Χ		

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes votes, 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

Resolution: Authorizing the County Treasurer to Settle in full the General Taxes for the Tax Roll Year 2018, Collectable in 2019: Carrie Eastlick, Treasurer presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Executive Committee.

A motion was made by Lester Jantzen, seconded by Ron Coppernoll to approve the following Resolution as presented. Roll Call Vote was called for.

RESOLUTION # 22-18 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY TREASURER TO SETTLE IN FULL FOR GENERAL TAXES FOR THE TAX ROLL YEAR 2018 - COLLECTABLE IN 2019

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Grant County, Wisconsin, desire to authorize and direct the County Treasurer of Grant County, Wisconsin, to settle in full for the general taxes; however, special charges, special assessment taxes or delinquent charges shall not be settled until paid.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors of Grant County, Wisconsin, that the County Treasurer of Grant County, Wisconsin, be and is hereby authorized to settle in full for these outstanding general taxes; however, the County Treasurer shall not settle special charges and special assessment taxes or delinquent charges in full for the year 2018 until paid.

Presented and recommended for passage on this 13th day of November, 2018 by the Executive Committee of the Grant County Board of Supervisors, Lancaster, Wisconsin.

/s/ John Patcle, Chair /s/ Robert C. Keeney, Co. Board Chair /s/ Carol Beals /s/ Mark Stead, Vice Chair /s/ Gary Ranum, Secretary /s/ Mike Lieurance /s/ Don Splinter

ATTEST: I Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that Resolution # 22-18 stated above was approved by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on November 13, 2018 as /s/ Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk presented.

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Authorizing Treasurer to settle in full for general taxes.

YES NO **EXCUSED** 1. GARY RANUM Χ 17

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE	Χ		
3.	ROBERT SCALLON			X
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	Χ		
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	Χ		
6.	JOHN PATCLE	Χ		
7.	GREGORY FRY	Χ		
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ		
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	Χ		
10.	MARK STEAD	Χ		
11.	DALE HOOD	Χ		
12.	DWIGHT NELSON	Χ		
13.	CAROL BEALS	Χ		
14.	LESTER JANTZEN	Χ		
15.	JOHN BEINBORN	Χ		
16.	DONALD SPLINTER	Χ		
17.	PORTER WAGNER	Χ		

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

<u>Resolution: Regarding the Designation of Depository:</u> Carrie Eastlick, Treasurer presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Executive Committee.

A motion was made by Mark Stead, seconded by Don Splinter to approve the following resolution as presented. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION #23-18

RESOLUTION REGARDING THE DESIGNATION OF A DEPOSITORY

BE IT RESOLVED, that Clare Bank, Platteville, is qualified as a public depository under Chapter 59 of the Wisconsin Statutes, and shall be and is hereby designated until further action, as a public depository for all public monies coming into the hands of the Treasurer of the County of Grant, State of Wisconsin, effective January 1, 2019; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that withdrawals or disbursements from the above named depository shall be only by order checks as provided in Section 66.0607 of the Wisconsin Statutes; that in accordance therewith all other checks shall be signed by the following persons in personal hand or by facsimile signature: Linda K. Gebhard, Clerk, and Carrie Eastlick, Treasurer, and counter-signed by Robert C. Keeney, County Board Chair.

The use of such facsimile signatures shall not relieve officials from any liability to which they are subject, including the unauthorized use of the facsimile signature. The public depository shall be fully warranted and protected in making payment on any check bearing such facsimile notwithstanding that the same may have been placed thereon without the authority of the designated persons; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOVLVED that a copy of this resolution shall be delivered to the above named depository, and the said depository may rely on this resolution until changed by lawful resolution and a certified copy of such resolution has been given to the cashier of the above named depository, effective January 1, 2019.

Presented and recommended for passage this 13th day of November, 2018, by the Executive Committee of the Grant County Board of Supervisor, Lancaster, Wisconsin.

```
/s/ John Patcle, Chair /s/ Robert C. Keeney, Co. Board Chair /s/ Mark Stead, Vice Chair /s/ Carol Beals /s/ Gary Ranum, Secretary /s/ Don Splinter
```

ATTEST: I Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that Resolution #23-18 stated above was approved by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on November 13, 2018 as presented. /s/ Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk

<u>Resolution: Regarding the Investment of County Funds</u>: Carrie Eastlick, Treasurer presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Executive Committee.

A motion was made by John Patcle, seconded by Ron Coppernoll to approve the following resolution as presented. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION # 24-18 RESOLUTION REGARDING THE INVESTMENT OF COUNTY FUNDS

WHEREAS, the County Treasurer will have on hand sums of money from tax levy, and part of such levy will not be required for immediate expenses for several months.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Treasurer with the approval of the Executive Committee be and hereby is authorized to invest funds not immediately needed for the transaction of the County business into United States Treasury Bills, Notes, and Bonds; in Banks, certified by the Commission of Banking to accept public funds and, in Savings and Loans, certified by the Commission of Savings and Loans to accept public funds, and in Credit Unions, certified by the Commission of Credit Unions to accept public funds and in the State of Wisconsin's Local Government Pooled Investment Fund. The County Treasurer shall be bonded.

Presented and recommended for passage on this 13th day of November, 2018, by the Executive Committee of the Grant County Board of Supervisors, Lancaster, Wisconsin.

```
/s/ John Patcle, Chair /s/ Robert C. Keeney, Co. Board Chair /s/ Mark Stead, Vice Chair /s/ Carol Beals /s/ Gary Ranum, Secretary /s/ Don Splinter
```

ATTEST: I Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that Resolution #24-18 stated above was approved by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on November 13, 2018 as presented. /s/ Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk

<u>Resolution:</u> Regarding County Order (Checks): _Carrie Eastlick, Treasurer presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Executive Committee.

A motion was made by John Beinborn, seconded by Porter Wagner to approve the following resolution as presented. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION # 25-18 RESOLUTION REGARDING COUNTY ORDERS (CHECKS)

BE IT RESOLVED by the Grant County Board of Supervisors, Wisconsin, that:

- 1. The Chairman, the Clerk and the Treasurer of this Board be, and they are hereby directed to execute county orders (checks) for all bills allowed at this session, to deliver them to the persons entitled to same; and
- 2. The Chairman, the Clerk and the Treasurer shall have charge of all property, shall draw orders (checks) for the payment of bills for purchases made for the general operating expenses; which shall be made prior to the meeting of the Board, including officers' salaries, and all other items, required by law.

Presented and recommended for passage on this 13th day of November, 2018 by the Executive Committee of the Grant County Board of Supervisors, Lancaster, Wisconsin.

/s/ John Patcle, Chair /s/ Robert C. Keeney, Co. Board Chair /s/ Mark Stead, Vice Chair /s/ Carol Beals /s/ Gary Ranum, Secretary /s/ Don Splinter

ATTEST: I Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that Resolution #25-18 stated above was approved by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on November 13, 2018 as presented.

/s/ Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk

<u>Resolution: County Aid Bridge Construction</u>: Dave Lambert, Highway Commissioner presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Highway Committee.

A motion was made by Don Splinter, seconded by Lester Jantzen, to approve the Bridge Aid resolution as presented below. A roll call vote was called for.

RESOLUTION NO. 26-18

COUNTY AID BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION UNDER SECTION 82.08

OF THE WISCONSIN STATE STATUTES - COUNTY OF GRANT

WHEREAS, by specifications in the 2019 Budget and Levy for 2019, the Honorable Board of Supervisors of Grant County has appropriated funds and authorized the Grant County Highway Commission to proceed with the proper prosecution of all work provided for therein, and

WHEREAS, your Committee has included in its budget requests appropriations for the granting of petitions for County Aid under S. 82.08, Stats., filed by governmental units as follows:

TOWNSHIP	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL <u>COST</u>	MUNICIPALITY SHARE	COUNTY SHARE
Ellenboro	Graney Road RCBC Extension County Bridge Aid	\$17,554.17	\$8,777.09	\$8,777.08
Hickory Grove	Ideal Road B-22-0289	\$19,915.79	\$9,957.90	\$9,957.89

2017	Local	Road	Improvement	Project
------	-------	------	-------------	---------

п	Breezy Hill Road 36-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid	\$4,542.59	\$2,271.30	\$2,271.29
Jamestown	Fairplay Road 36-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid	\$7,048.54	\$3,524.27	\$3,524.27
	Shoestring Road Twin 72-inch CMCP's County Bridge Aid	\$3,070.00	\$1,535.00	\$1,535.00
	Timber Lane 4 x 6 RCBC County Bridge Aid	\$46,508.78	\$23,254.39	\$23,254.39
Marion	Tower Road 48-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid	\$2,894.85	\$1,447.43	\$1,447.42
Mt. Ida	Collins Road 36-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid	\$2,265.05	\$1,132.53	\$1,132.52
п	Hilltop Road 36-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid	\$1,917.54	\$958.77	\$958.77
North Lancaster	Naomi Lane 36-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid	\$3,175.52	\$1,587.76	\$1,587.76
Paris	Church Road RCBC Extension County Bridge Aid	\$15,883.82	\$7,941.91	\$7,941.91
п	Rock Lane RCBC Extension County Bridge Aid	\$10,601.16	\$5,300.58	\$5,300.58
Potosi	Brouillard Hill Road 36-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid	\$4,433.50	\$2,216.75	\$2,216.75
Smelser	Lone Road 72-inch CMCP 2018 Local Road Improvement Project	\$63,480.00	\$41,080.99	\$22,399.01
п	Mill Road - East 36-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid	\$14,900.00	\$7,450.00	\$7,450.00
п	Mill Road - West 36-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid	\$10,350.00	\$5,175.00	\$5,175.00
п	Quarry Road Riprap Replacement	\$13,300.00	\$6,650.00	\$6,650.00

	County Bridge Aid				
Waterloo	West Haas Lane (2) 36-inch CMCP's County Bridge Aid		\$17,256.52	\$8,628.26	\$8,628.26
Wingville	Cass Hollow Road 48-inch CMCP County Bridge Aid		\$4,607.14	\$2,303.57	\$2,303.57
Wyalusing	Gasner Hollow Road (3) 36-inch CMCP's County Bridge Aid		\$13,743.97	\$6,871.99	\$6,871.98
	TOTAL BRIDGE CONS	TRUCTION COSTS	\$277,448.94		
	MUNICIPAL BRIDGE CONS	TRUCTION COSTS		\$148,065.49	
	ELGIBLE COST	FOR COUNTY AID			\$129,383.45
/s/ John Patcle Grant County H	lighway Committee Chairman		/s/ Donald J. Splinte Grant County Highw		e-Chairman
/s/ Mark Stead Grant County H	lighway Committee Secretary		/s/ Lester Jantzen Grant County Highw	vay Committee	
/s/ Dwight Nelso	on lighway Committee				
		ROLL CALL VOTE			
DATE: Novemb QUESTION: Br	oer 13, 2018 ridge Aid Construction				
	RY RANUM RY NORTHOUSE	YES X X	NO	EXCUSED	
	BERT SCALLON	^		Х	
4. RO	BERT KEENEY	X			
5. RO	GER GUTHRIE	Χ			
6. JOI	HN PATCLE	X			

Χ

7. GREGORY FRY

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ		
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	Χ		
10.	MARK STEAD	Χ		
11.	DALE HOOD	Χ		
12.	DWIGHT NELSON	Χ		
13.	CAROL BEALS	Χ		
14.	LESTER JANTZEN	Χ		
15.	JOHN BEINBORN	Χ		
16.	DONALD SPLINTER	Χ		
17.	PORTER WAGNER	Χ		

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes Votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

Resolution: County Construction and Maintenance During the Calendar Year of 2019: Dave Lambert, Highway Commissioner presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Highway Committee.

A motion was made by Porter Wagner, seconded by John Beinborn, to approve the County Construction and Maintenance resolution as presented below. A roll call vote was called for.

RESOLUTION NO. 27-18 COUNTY CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE DURING THE CALENDAR YEAR 2019

SECTION I. The County Board of Supervisors of Grant County, Wisconsin regularly assembled, does hereby ordain that such funds as may be made available to the County for highway work in the year 2019 under the provisions of Section 86.30 of the Statutes, and the additional sums herein appropriated, shall be expended as hereinafter set forth:

SECTION II. COUNTY TRUNK HIGHWAY ALLOTMENT. WHEREAS, the State Highway Commission has notified the County Clerk that a sum of money estimated to be One Million Three Hundred Forty Thousand, Two Hundred Seventy-Two Dollars and 00/100 (\$1,340,272.00) will become available at the end of the fiscal year under the provisions of Section 86.30 of the Statutes, for the County Trunk Highway System in the County, but the actual amount will not be known until the close of the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

BE IT RESOLVED that the County Highway Committee is authorized and directed to expend the said sum to the extent required to match and supplement Federal Aid for construction, right of way, and other cost on any Federal projects located on the County Trunk Highway System of said County, which are not recovered from Federal Funds, and to expend any balance for construction, repairing, and maintaining such County Trunk Highway System and Bridges thereon, including snow and ice removal and control, as directed in Section 86.30 of the Statutes, and to reimburse the general fund for any expenditures that may be made therefore pursuant to Section 86.30 of the Statutes. The distribution to such purpose to estimated, but not specifically directed, to be as follows:

SECTION III. WHEREAS, it appears that certain highway improvements in the County are necessary and warranted,

BE IT RESOLVED that the County Board does hereby appropriate the following sums for the purpose hereinafter set forth:

- (1) The Sum of Two Hundred Fifty-Six Thousand, Nine Hundred Twenty Dollars and 00/100 (\$256,920.00) for Federal Aid Secondary Projects.
- (2) For the Maintenance of the County Trunk Highway System the sum of One Million, One Hundred Sixty Thousand, Six Hundred Forty-Five Dollars and 00/100 (\$1,160,645.00).
- (3) For emergency road or bridge repairs or construction, the necessity for which is determined by the County Highway Committee for which other funds are not available, the sum of One Hundred Fifty-Nine Thousand, Four Hundred Sixty-Two Dollars and 00/100 (\$159,462.00).
- (4) For the purchase of machinery the sum of Six Hundred Fifty-Two Thousand, Nine Hundred Ninety-Four Dollars and 00/100 (\$652,994.00).
- (5) For the purchase, maintenance and repair of machinery the sum received from Machinery Rental.
- (6) For the purchase of County Gravel Pits and Stone Quarries the sum received from Quarry Fees.
- (7) For administration, including salaries, per diem, incidental labor office and travel expense of the County Highway Committee, the County Highway Commissioner, his bookkeepers and assistant not paid from construction or maintenance funds, the sum of Two Hundred Thirteen Thousand Two Hundred Fifty-Six Dollars and 00/100 (\$213,256.00).
- (8) For bituminous surfacing on County Trunk Highways the sum of Two Million, Five Hundred Seventy Thousand, Five Hundred Sixteen Dollars and 00/100 (\$2,570,516.00).
- (9) For snow and ice control on County Trunk Highways the sum of One Million, Five Thousand, One Hundred Sixty-Seven Dollars and 00/100 (\$1,005,167.00).

TOTAL AUTHORIZED IN THIS SECTION: \$6,018,960

SECTION IV. WHEREAS, appropriations are made herein, in addition to the amounts to be received from the State and available for work in the County under Section 86.30 of the Statutes.

BE IT RESOLVED that the County Board does hereby levy a tax on all of the property in the County to meet such appropriations as follows:

(1) For the various purposes as set forth in Section III here of the sum of Four Million, Six Hundred Seventy-Eight Thousand, Six Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars and 00/100 (\$4,678,688.00).

WARNING: It is directed that provision for this levy shall be made in the County Budget, but that this levy shall not be duplicated.

SECTION V. WHEREAS, the various highway activities for which provisions are made in the resolution are continuous from year to year, and the exact cost of any work cannot be known at the time of making the appropriations, therefore;

BE IT RESOLVED that this Board does hereby direct that any balance remaining in any appropriation for specific highway improvement after the same shall have been completed may be used by the County Highway Committee to make up any deficit that may occur in any other improvement, which is part of the same item in the County Budget, for which provisions are herein made, and any balances remaining at the end of the year in any highway fund shall remain and be available for the same purpose in the ensuing year.

SECTION VI. WHEREAS, the exact amount of the funds that will become available from the State for highway purposes in the County under Section 86.30 of the Statutes will not be known until on or after July 1, 2019.

BE IT RESOLVED that the County Treasurer is hereby authorized and directed to make payments for the purposes for which such funds are to be used, as herein before authorized, from any funds in the County Treasury that are not required for the purposes for which appropriated prior to August 1, 2019, and to reimburse such funds in the County Treasury from the sum received under Section 86.30 of the Statutes.

SECTION VII. WHEREAS, the County Highway Committee and the County Highway Commissioner are charged with the duty and responsibility of carrying out the construction and maintenance of the highways for which provision is made, and other related supervisory and administrative duties.

Resolution offered by:

/s/ John Patcle, Chairman /s/ Donald Splinter, V-Chair

/s/ Mark Stead, Secretary

/s/ Dwight Nelson /s/ Lester Jantzen

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: Construction and Maintenance Resolution

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
1.	GARY RANUM	X		
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE	X		
3.	ROBERT SCALLON			X
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	X		
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	X		
6.	JOHN PATCLE	X		
7.	GREGORY FRY	X		
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	X		
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	X		
10	. MARK STEAD	X		
11	. DALE HOOD	X		
12	. DWIGHT NELSON	X		
13	. CAROL BEALS	X		
14	. LESTER JANTZEN	X		
15	. JOHN BEINBORN	X		
16	. DONALD SPLINTER	X		
17	. PORTER WAGNER	X		

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes Votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

<u>Resolution: County Aid—Towns:</u> Dave Lambert, Highway Commissioner presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Highway Committee.

A motion was made by John Patcle, seconded by Mark Stead, to approve the County Road Aid – Towns resolution as presented below. A roll call vote was called for.

RESOLUTION #28-18 RESOLUTION REGARDING COUNTY ROAD AID-TOWNSHIPS SECTION 83.14 OF THE STATUTES COUNTY OF GRANT

WHEREAS, various Towns hereinafter named have filed petitions for County Aid for roads under the provisions of Section 83.14 of the Statutes,

BE IT RESOLVED, that said petitions are hereby granted and County appropriations made as follows:

	AMOUNT RAISED	AMOUNT OF COUNTY
TOWNS	BY LOCAL UNITS	AID GRANTED
Beetown	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
Bloomington	2,000.00	2,000.00
Boscobel	2,000.00	2,000.00
Cassville	2,000.00	2,000.00
Castle Rock	2,000.00	2,000.00
Clifton	2,000.00	2,000.00
Ellenboro	2,000.00	2,000.00
Fennimore	2,000.00	2,000.00
Glen Haven	2,000.00	2,000.00
Harrison	2,000.00	2,000.00
Hazel Green	2,000.00	2,000.00
Hickory Grove	2,000.00	2,000.00
Jamestown	2,000.00	2,000.00
Liberty	2,000.00	2,000.00
Lima	2,000.00	2,000.00
Little Grant	2,000.00	2,000.00
Marion	2,000.00	2,000.00
Millville	2,000.00	2,000.00
Mt. Hope	2,000.00	2,000.00
Mt. Ida	2,000.00	2,000.00
Muscoda	2,000.00	2,000.00
North Lancaster	2,000.00	2,000.00
Paris	2,000.00	2,000.00
Patch Grove	2,000.00	2,000.00
Platteville	2,000.00	2,000.00
Potosi	2,000.00	2,000.00
Smelser	2,000.00	2,000.00
South Lancaster	2,000.00	2,000.00
Waterloo	2,000.00	2,000.00
Watterstown	2,000.00	2,000.00
	26	

Wingville	2,000.00	2,000.00
Woodman	2,000.00	2,000.00
Wyalusing	2,000.00	2,000.00
TOTAL	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 66,000.00

The County Board does hereby levy a tax to meet said appropriations on all of the property in the County, which is taxable for such purpose.

WARNING: It is directed that provision for this levy shall be made in the County Budget, but that this levy shall not be duplicated.

Resolution offered by:

/s/John Patcle, Chairman /s/Donald Splinter, V-Chai /s/ Mark Stead, Secretary /s/ Lester Jantzen /s/ Dwight Nelson

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: County Road Aid Towns

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
1.	GARY RANUM	Χ		
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE	Χ		
3.	ROBERT SCALLON			X
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	X		
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	X		
6.	JOHN PATCLE	X		
7.	GREGORY FRY	X		
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	Χ		
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	Χ		
10.	MARK STEAD	Χ		
11.	DALE HOOD	X		
12.	DWIGHT NELSON	X		
13.	CAROL BEALS	X		
14.	LESTER JANTZEN	Χ		
15.	JOHN BEINBORN	Χ		
16.	DONALD SPLINTER	Χ		
17.	PORTER WAGNER	Χ		

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes Votes, and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

<u>Resolution: County Aid—Villages</u>: Dave Lambert, Highway Commissioner presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Highway Committee.

A motion was made by Lester Jantzen, seconded by Dwight Nelson, to approve the County Road Aid – Villages resolution as presented below. A roll call vote was called for.

RESOLUTION #29-18 RESOLUTION REGARDING COUNTY ROAD AID-VILLAGES SECTION 83.14 OF THE STATUTES COUNTY OF GRANT

WHEREAS, various Villages hereinafter named have filed petitions for County Aid for roads under the provisions of Section 83.14 of the Statutes,

BE IT RESOLVED, that said petitions are hereby granted and County appropriations made as follows:

	AMOUNT RAISED	AMOUNT OF COUNTY
<u>VILLAGES</u>	BY LOCAL UNITS	AID GRANTED
Bagley	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
Bloomington	2,000.00	2,000.00
Blue River	2,000.00	2,000.00
Cassville	2,000.00	2,000.00
Dickeyville	2,000.00	2,000.00
Hazel Green	2,000.00	2,000.00
Livingston	2,000.00	2,000.00
Montfort	2,000.00	2,000.00
Mt. Hope	2,000.00	2,000.00
Muscoda	2,000.00	2,000.00
Patch Grove	2,000.00	2,000.00
Potosi	2,000.00	2,000.00
Tennyson	2,000.00	2,000.00
Woodman	<u>2,000.00</u>	<u>2,000.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 28,000.00	\$ 28,000.00

The County Board does hereby levy a tax to meet said appropriations on all of the property in the County, which is taxable for such purpose.

WARNING: It is directed that provision for this levy shall be made in the County Budget, but that this levy shall not be duplicated.

Reso	olution offered by:
/s/ John Patcle, Chairman	/s/ Donald Splinter, V-Chair
/s/ Mark Stead, Secretary	/s/ Lester Jantzen
/s/ Dwight Nelson	

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: County Road Aid Villages

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
1.	GARY RANUM	X		
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE	X		
3.	ROBERT SCALLON			Х
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	X		

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	X		
6.	JOHN PATCLE	Χ		
7.	GREGORY FRY	X		
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL	X		
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE	X		
10.	MARK STEAD	X		
11.	DALE HOOD	X		
12.	DWIGHT NELSON	X		
13.	CAROL BEALS	X		
14.	LESTER JANTZEN	X		
15.	JOHN BEINBORN	X		
16.	DONALD SPLINTER	X		
17.	PORTER WAGNER	X		

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes Votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

<u>Resolution: County Aid—Cities:</u> Dave Lambert, Highway Commissioner presented the resolution to the Board, recommended for passage by the Highway Committee.

A motion was made by Ron Coppernoll, seconded by Gary Northouse, to approve the County Road Aid – Cities resolution as presented below. A roll call vote was called for.

RESOLUTION #30-18 RESOLUTION REGARDING COUNTY ROAD AID-CITIES SECTION 83.14 OF THE STATUTES COUNTY OF GRANT

BE IT RESOLVED, that under Statute 83.03, the Cities of Boscobel, Cuba City, Fennimore, Lancaster and Platteville be allowed \$2,000.00 each for County Road Aid.

TOTAL: \$10,000.00

The County Board does hereby levy a tax to meet said appropriations on all of the property in the County, which is taxable for such purpose.

WARNING: It is directed that provision for this levy shall be made in the County Budget, but that this levy shall not be duplicated.

Resolution offered by:

/s/ John Patcle, Chairman /s/ Donald Splinter, V-Chair /s/ Mark Stead, Secretary /s/ Dwight Nelson /s/ Lester Jantzen

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: County Road Aid Cities

YES	NO	EXCUSED
Χ		
Χ		
		X
X		
Χ		
Χ		
Χ		
Χ		
Χ		
Χ		
Χ		
Χ		
Χ		
Χ		
Χ		
X		
X		
	x x x x x x x x x x	X X X X X X X X X X X

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 16 Yes, 1 Excused. Therefore motion carried.

Comprehensive Plan Change: None:

<u>Wind Energy System Siting Ordinance</u>: Lynda Schweikert, Conservation, Sanitation, Zoning Director presented the proposed Grant County Wind Energy System Siting Ordinance to the Board for approval. The purpose of this ordinance is to establish regulations on the installation and use of wind energy systems that are authorized by, compliant with and no more restrictive than the rules promulgated by the WI Public Service Commission.

A motion was made by Mark Stead, seconded by Lester Jantzen, to approve the ordinance contingent of Lynda Schweikert making a few minor changes in the wording as directed by the Grant County Board of Supervisors. Motion carried.

Ordinance No. 70

SECTION I – GENERAL PROVISIONS

<u>1.0 Title</u>

This ordinance is entitled the Grant County Wind Energy System Siting Ordinance.

2.0 Purpose

The purpose of this ordinance is to adopt and incorporate the requirements of Wis. Stat. § 66.0401 and Wis. Admin. Code Ch. PSC 128 as a local ordinance and to establish local regulations on the installation and use of wind energy systems that are authorized by, compliant with, and no more restrictive than the

rules promulgated by the Wisconsin Public Service Commission and that serve to preserve or protect the public health or safety, do not significantly increase the cost of the system or significantly decrease its efficiency, or allow for an alternative system of comparable cost and efficiency.

3.0 Authority

This ordinance is adopted pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 66.0401 and Wis. Admin. Code § PSC 128.

4.0 Applicability

This ordinance applies to all lands within the boundaries of the county lying outside the limits of incorporated cities and villages.

5.0 Severability

If any provision of this ordinance or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are severable.

6.0 Administration

This ordinance shall be administered by the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Department.

SECTION II – DEFINITIONS

"Commission" means the public service commission.

"Committee" means the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Committee.

"Department director" or "director" means the director of the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning or the department director's designee.

"Office" means the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Department.

"Large wind energy system" or "large wind" means a wind energy system that has a total installed nameplate capacity of more than 300 kilowatts and that consists of individual wind turbines that have an installed nameplate capacity of more than 100 kilowatts.

"Permit" means a zoning permit issued by the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Department.

"PSC 128" means Wis. Admin. Code Ch. PSC 128, Wind Energy Systems.

"Small wind energy system" or "small wind" means a wind energy system that has a total installed nameplate capacity of 300 kilowatts or less and that consists of individual wind turbines that have an installed nameplate capacity of not more than 100 kilowatts.

"Wind energy system" means equipment and associated facilities that convert and then store or transfer energy from the wind into usable forms of energy.

SECTION III - PERMIT, APPLICATION, AND FILING REQUIREMENTS

1.0 Permit Requirement and Fee

- 1.1 No wind energy system shall be constructed, located, installed, reconstructed, enlarged, or relocated, including the placement of additional buildings or other supporting equipment used in connection with said wind energy system, without first obtaining a zoning permit and a conditional use permit, except as allowed under section 1.2, and without full compliance with the provisions of this Code and all other applicable County and State requirements.
- 1.2 A single "Personal Wind Energy System" (PWES) that is for use by the individual land owner on which the PWES is to be located, that is 75 feet or under in total height, and that has a nameplate capacity of less than 5 kilowatts may be located on a lot with a county zoning permit. No conditional use permit will be required for a single PWES meeting these requirements. The location of two or more PWESs on a lot, the location of a PWES that exceeds 75 feet in total height or that has a nameplate capacity greater than 5 kilowatts and/or the location of any other wind energy system on a lot shall require a zoning permit and conditional use permit in accordance with section 1.1.
- 1.3 Applications for conditional use permits and zoning permits must meet the requirements in Section 3.0. The exemptions listed under PSC 128.60 shall apply to an application that is submitted for a small wind energy system.
- 1.4 All fees shall be established by the resolution by the Grant County Board of Supervisors.
- 1.5 For large wind energy systems:
 - a.) The applicant is responsible for paying all costs incurred by the county in connection with the review and processing of the application, including the cost for services provided by outside attorneys, engineers, environmental specialists, planners, and other consultants and experts deemed necessary by the county.
 - b.) The county shall make the applicant aware of any such costs prior to incurring the cost and, if the applicant decides not to pay the costs, the application shall be denied.
 - c.) The county shall invoice the applicant for the actual and necessary costs incurred pursuant to this ordinance. The applicant will be provided 15 days from the date of the invoice to reimburse the county.
 - d.) The office is authorized to contract with one or more engineers, environmental specialists, planners, and other consultants and experts to perform necessary services in connection with this ordinance.
 - e.) The corporation counsel is authorized to contract with outside legal counsel to perform services in connection with this ordinance.

2.0 Permit Expiration and Extension

A zoning permit issued under this ordinance shall expire if the project construction has not been started within 18 months of the permit issue date. An extension may be requested in writing to the director for up to 12 additional months provided the original permit has not yet expired. The director shall grant an

extension provided the project is not inconsistent with any subsequently enacted law, rule or regulation under the purview of the office.

3.0 Application Requirements

- 3.1 For small wind energy systems An owner shall file an application with the office that, at a minimum, includes the following information:
 - a.) Wind energy system description and maps showing the locations of all proposed wind energy facilities
 - b.) Technical description of wind turbines and wind turbine sites
 - c.) Timeline and process for constructing the wind energy system
 - d.) Information regarding anticipated impact of the wind energy systems on local infrastructure
 - e.) Information regarding noise anticipated to be attributable to the wind energy system
 - f.) Information regarding shadow flicker anticipated to be attributable to the wind energy system
 - g.) Information regarding the anticipated effects of the wind energy system on existing land uses adjacent to the wind energy system
 - h.) Information regarding the anticipated effects of the wind energy system on airports and airspace
 - i.) Information regarding the anticipated effects of the wind energy system on line-of- sight communications
 - j.) A list of all state and federal permits required to construct and operate the wind energy system
 - k.) Information regarding the planned use and modification of roads during the construction, operation, and decommissioning of the wind energy system, including a process for assessing road damage caused by wind energy system activities and for conducting road repairs at the owner's expense
 - I.) A representative copy of all notices issued under section (5) and ss. PSC 128.105(1) and 128.42(1), which are:
 - (1) PSC 128.105(1) & 128.61: Pre-application notice At least 60 days before an owner files an application to construct a wind energy system, an owner shall use commercially reasonable methods to provide written notice of the planned wind energy system to all of the following:
 - Adjacent landowners to the planned wind turbine host property
 - Political subdivisions within which the wind energy system may be located
- 3.2 For large wind energy systems An owner shall file an application with the office that, at a minimum, includes the following information:
 - a.) All information required under 3.1(a-f) and (h-k) of this ordinance

- b.) Information regarding the anticipated effects of the wind energy system on existing land uses within 0.5 mile of the wind energy system
- c.) A representative copy of all notices issued under section (5) and ss. PSC 128.105(1) and 128.42(1), which are:
 - (1) PSC 128.105(1): Pre-application notice At least 90 days before an owner files an application to construct a wind energy system, an owner shall use commercially reasonable methods to provide written notice of the planned wind energy system to all of the following:
 - Land owners within one mile of the planned wind turbine host property
 - Political subdivisions within which the wind energy system may be located
 - Emergency first responders and air ambulance service providers serving the political subdivisions within which the wind energy system may be located
 - The Wisconsin department of transportation
 - The public service commission
 - The Wisconsin department of natural resources
 - The Wisconsin department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection
 - The office of the deputy undersecretary of the U.S. department of defense
 - (2) PSC 128.42(1): Notice of process for making complaints Before construction of a wind energy system begins, an owner shall provide written notice of the process for making complaints and obtaining mitigation measures to all residents and landowners within 0.5 mile of any wind energy system facility. An owner shall include in the notice the requirements under PSC 128.40(1) for submitting a complaint to the owner, a petition for review to the political subdivision, and an appeal to the commission, and shall include a contact person and telephone number for the owner for receipt of complaints or concerns during construction, operation, maintenance and decommissioning.
- d.) A copy of all emergency plans developed in collaboration with appropriate first responders under s. PSC 128.18(4)(b). An owner may file plans using confidential filing procedures as necessary.
- e.) A decommissioning and site restoration plan providing reasonable assurance that the owner will be able to comply with s. PSC 128.19.
- 3.3 For all applications, the owner shall ensure that information contained in the application is accurate.
- 3.4 Evidence shall be included for all applications to show that, on the same day an owner filed an application under this ordinance, the owner did, use commercially reasonable methods to provide written notice of the filing of the application to property owners and residents located within one mile of the proposed location of any wind energy system facility. The notice shall include all of the following:

- a.) A complete description of the wind energy system, including the number and size of the wind turbines.
- b.) A map showing the location of all proposed wind energy system facilities.
- c.) The proposed timeline for construction and operation of the wind energy system.
- d.) Locations where the application is available for public review.
- e.) Owner contact information.

SECTION IV – LOCAL REGULATIONS

4.01 Abandonment and Decommissioning

4.011 For small wind energy systems:

- a.) A small wind energy system that does not generate electricity for a continuous period of 540 days will be deemed abandoned and the office may issue a Notice of Abandonment to the owner.
- b.) If, within 30 days of receipt of a Notice of Abandonment, the owner provides the office with information showing that the small wind energy system has not been abandoned, the office will withdraw the Notice.
- c.) Unless the office withdraws the Notice of Abandonment, a small wind energy system tower must be decommissioned as prescribed by PSC 128.19. If the owner fails to remove a small wind energy system and reclaim the site, the county may remove or cause the removal of the small wind energy system and arrange for the reclamation of the site. The cost of removal and reclamation will become a lien upon the property and may be collected in the same manner as property taxes.

4.012 For large wind energy systems:

- a.) An owner with a nameplate capacity of one megawatt or larger shall provide the county with financial assurance of the owner's ability to pay the actual and necessary cost to decommission the wind energy system before commencing major civil construction activities.
- b.) An owner shall provide the county with 3 estimates of the actual and necessary cost to decommission the wind energy system. The cost estimates shall be prepared by third parties agreeable to the owner and the county. The amount of financial assurance required by the county will be the average of the 3 estimates.
- c.) An owner shall establish financial assurance that is acceptable to the county and that places the county in a secured position. The financial assurance must provide that the secured funds may only be used for decommissioning the wind energy system until such time as the county determines that the wind energy system has been decommissioned, as provided for in PSC 128.19 (5), or the county approves the release of the funds, whichever occurs first. The financial assurance must also provide that the county may access the funds for the

- purpose of decommissioning the wind energy system if the owner does not decommission the system when decommissioning is required.
- d.) The county may periodically request information from the owner regarding industry costs for decommissioning the wind energy system. If the county finds that the
 - future anticipated cost to decommission the wind energy system is at least 10 percent more or less than the amount of financial assurance provided under this section, the county may correspondingly increase or decrease the amount of financial assurance required.
- e.) The county may require an owner to submit a substitute financial insurance of the owner's choosing if an event occurs that raises material concern regarding the viability of the existing financial assurance.
- f.) An owner shall, within 30 days of consulting with any federal or state agency about the construction, operation, or decommissioning of the wind energy system, provide the county with information about the reason for the consultation.
- g.) An owner shall, within 30 days of receiving any non-binding recommendation for the construction, operation, or decommissioning of the wind energy system from any federal or state agency, provide the county with information about the consultation

4.02 Lighting

4.021 For small wind energy systems:

- a.) A small wind energy wind system may be artificially lighted only if lighting is required by the Federal Aviation Administration.
- b.) An owner shall use shielding or control systems approved by the Federal Aviation System to reduce visibility of light when viewed from the ground.

4.03 Noise

4.031 For small wind energy systems:

- a.) The noise generated by the operation of a small wind energy system may not exceed 50 dB(A) during the daytime hours and 45 dB(A) during the nighttime hours as measured at the outside wall of a nonparticipating residence or occupied community building that existed when the owner gave notice pursuant to PSC 128.105(1) or for which complete publicly available plans for construction were on file with a political subdivision within 30 days of the date when the owner gave notice pursuant to PSC 128.105(1).
- b.) The owner of an adjacent nonparticipating residence or adjacent occupied community building may relieve the owner of the small wind energy system of the requirement to meet any of the noise limits in this section by written contract as provide in PSC 128.14(5) and (6).
- c.) The owner shall provide the notice as prescribed by PSC 128.61(4).

d.) If an owner receives a complaint of a violation of the noise standards contained in PSC 128.14 and the owner has not provided the department with the results of an accurate test conducted within 2 years of the date of the complaint showing that the small wind energy system is in compliance with the noise standard at the location relating to the complaint, the owner shall promptly conduct a noise study to evaluate compliance with the noise standards at that location using the most current version of the noise measurement protocol as described in PSC 128.50(2).

4.032 For large wind energy systems:

a.) If an owner receives a complaint of a violation of the noise standards contained in PSC 128.14 and the owner has not provided the office with the results of an accurate test conducted within 2 years of the date of the complaint showing that the wind energy system is in compliance with the noise standard at the location relating to the complaint, the owner shall promptly conduct a noise study to evaluate compliance with the noise standards at that location using the most current version of the noise measurement protocol as described in PSC 128.50(2).

4.04 Ownership Change

An owner shall provide the county with notice of any change in ownership of the small wind energy system on or before the effective date of the change.

For large wind energy systems, a notice of change in ownership of the wind energy system shall include information showing that the financial responsibility specified under section 4.012 of this ordinance will be met by the new owner.

4.05 Setbacks

4.051 For small wind energy systems:

- a.) A small wind energy system must be set back at least 1.0 times the maximum blade tip height from any nonparticipating property line, nonparticipating residence, occupied community building, or overhead communication and electrical transmission line, not including utility service lines to individual houses or outbuildings.
- b.) The owner of an adjacent nonparticipating residence or adjacent occupied community building may waive the required setback distance by providing a written agreement with the owner to the office and if the director is in agreement.

4.052 For large wind energy systems:

a.) A large wind energy system shall comply with the setback distances shown in Table 1 in PSC 128.13, which are:

Setback Description	Setback Distance
Occupied Community Buildings	The lesser of 1,250 feet or 3.1 times the maximum blade tip

	height		
Participating Residences	1.1 times the maximum blade tip height		
Nonparticipating Residences	The lesser of 1,250 feet or 3.1 times the maximum blade tip height		
Participating Property Lines	None		
Nonparticipating Property Lines	1.1 times the maximum blade tip height		
Public Road Right-of-Way	1.1 times the maximum blade tip height		
Overhead Communication and Electric Transmission or Distribution Lines – not including utility service lines to individual houses or outbuildings	1.1 times the maximum blade tip height		
Overhead Utility Service Lines – Lines to individual houses or outbuildings	None		

SECTION V – LOCAL PROCEDURE

5.0 Application Processing

- 5.1 Within 30 days of receiving the application, the office shall notify the applicant whether the application is complete and, if it is not, what the applicant must do in order to make it complete.
 - a.) The applicant shall provide the additional information specified in the notice to the office within 60 days of the date of the notice.
 - b.) If the applicant fails to provide additional information specified in the notice to complete the application within 60 days of the date of the notice, the application shall be deemed abandoned. The owner may file a new application at a later date, subject to payment of a new application fee. There is no limit to the number of times that an owner may file an application.
 - c.) An application shall be deemed complete if it complies with the filing requirements of section 3.0 of this ordinance and of PSC 128.50.
- As soon as possible after receiving a complete application, the office shall publish a class 1 notice, under ch. 985 Stats., stating that an application for approval has been filed with the county. If the application is deemed incomplete, the notice shall state the reason for the determination. The office will accept written comments on the application for a period of 10 days following the date of the published notice.
- 5.3 The county shall make a record of its decision making on an application for approval, including a recording of any public hearing, copies of documents submitted at any public hearing, and copies of any other documents provided to the political subdivision in connection with the application for approval.
- 5.4 The county shall base its decision on an application for approval on written findings of fact that are supported by the evidence in the record of any public hearing.

- 5.5 The county shall approve or disapprove an application for approval no later than 90 days after the day on which it notifies the applicant that the application for approval is complete. The county may extend this time period in writing provided the extension is done during the initial 90-day period, except the total amount of time for all extensions granted may not exceed 90 days. Any combination of the following extensions may be granted:
 - a.) An extension of up to 45 days if the county needs additional information to determine whether to approve or deny the application.
 - b.) An extension of up to 90 days if the applicant makes a material modification to the application.
 - c.) An extension of up to 90 days for other good cause specified in writing by the county.

If the county fails to act within the initial 90 days, or within any extended time period, the application is considered approved.

- 5.6 For large wind energy systems, the county may deny an application if the proposed site of the wind energy system is in an area primarily designated for future residential or commercial development as shown in an adopted comprehensive plan.
- 5.7 The decision/denial by the county of any application shall be made in writing to the applicant and must include the reasons for the decision/denial. Any decision/denial by the county of an application may be appealed to the Grant County Board of Adjustment following the procedure outlined in Chapter 315 of the Grant County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.
- 5.8 The county shall provide a written decision to the applicant and the public service commission. Said decision shall contain findings of fact supported by evidence in the record.

SECTION VI – MODIFICATIONS TO AN APPROVED SYSTEM

6.0 Material change

An owner may not make a material change in the approved design, location or construction of a wind energy system without the prior written approval of the office. An owner shall submit an application for a material change to an approved wind energy system to the county. The county may not reopen the merits of the earlier approval, but shall consider only those issues relevant to the proposed change.

An application for material change is subject to PSC 128.35.

At its discretion, the county may hold at least one public meeting to obtain comments on and to inform the public about a proposed material change to an approved wind energy system.

SECTION VII - THIRD PARTY CONSTRUCTION INSPECTOR

The office may contract with a third party inspector to monitor and report to the office regarding the owner's compliance with permit requirements during construction. The inspector monitoring compliance under this section shall also report to a state permitting authority upon the state permitting authority's request. The inspector shall make monthly written reports to the office. The owner shall reimburse the county for the actual and necessary cost of the inspector.

SECTION VIII – POSTCONSTRUCTION FILING REQUIREMENT

Within 90 days of the date a wind energy system commences operation, the owner shall file with the office and the public service commission an as-built description of the wind energy system, an accurate map of the wind energy system showing the location of all wind energy system facilities, geographic information system information showing the location of all wind energy system facilities, and current information identifying the owner of the wind energy system.

An owner shall label each wind turbine location described in its filing and shown on the map of the wind energy system with a unique identifier consistent with the information posted at the wind turbine location under PSC 128.18 (1).

SECTION IX – COMPLIANCE MONITORING

This section applies to large wind energy systems only. An owner shall maintain a maintenance log for each wind turbine. The log must contain the following information:

- a.) date and time maintenance was performed
- b.) nature of the maintenance performed
- c.) reason for the maintenance

An owner shall, at the owner's expense, provide the office with a copy of the maintenance log for each wind turbine for each month upon the request of the county.

The office may retain such consultants or experts as it deems necessary to assess and determine whether the wind energy system facilities are compliant or to assess whether the wind energy system facilities are being maintained in good repair and operating condition.

SECTION X – DECOMMISSIONING REVIEW

An owner shall file a notice of decommissioning completion with the county and any political subdivision within which its wind energy system facilities are located when a wind energy system approved by the county has been decommissioned and removed.

The office shall conduct a decommissioning review to determine whether the owner has decommissioned and removed the wind energy system as required by PSC 128.19(1)(a) and whether the owner has complied with its site restoration obligation under PSC 128.19(4).

The owner shall cooperate with the county by participating in the decommissioning review process.

SECTION XI – APPEALS

A decision by the office that the application is not complete, to approve or disapprove the application, or to impose a restriction on a small wind energy system may be appealed to the public service commission.

Any action by the county to enforce a restriction on a small wind energy system may be appealed to the public service commission.

An appeal must be filed with the public service commission within 30 days after the date of the decision or the start of the enforcement action that is being appealed.

SECTION XII – COMPLAINTS

12.1 Complaint process for wind energy systems

- 12.11 An aggrieved person who has made a complaint to an owner in accordance with PSC 128.40 may petition the county for review of the complaint if it has not been resolved within 45 days of the day the owner received the original complaint.
- 12.12 The petition for review must be filed with the office within 90 days of the date of the original complaint and shall contain the following:
 - a.) name, address, and telephone number of the person filing the petition
 - b.) copy of the original complaint to the owner
 - c.) coy of the owner's original response
 - d.) statement describing the unresolved complaint
 - e.) statement describing the desired remedy
 - f.) any other information the complainant deems relevant to the complaint
 - g.) notarized signature of the person fling the petition
- 12.13 The office shall forward a copy of the petition to the owner by certified mail within 10 days of the office receiving the petition.
- 12.14 The owner shall file an answer to the petition with the office and provide a copy of its answer to the complainant within 30 days of its receipt of the petition.
- 12.15 The answer must include the following:
 - a.) name, address, and telephone number of the person filing the answer
 - b.) statement describing the actions taken by the owner in response to the complaint
 - c.) statement of the reasons why the owner believes that the complaint has been resolved or why the complaint remains unresolved
 - d.) statement describing any additional action the owner plans or is willing to take to resolve the complaint
 - e.) any other information the owner deems relevant to the complaint
 - f.) notarized signature of the person filing the answer
- 12.16 The complainant and the owner may, within 30 days following the owner's filing of its answer, file such additional information with the office as each deems appropriate.
- 12.17 The office may request such additional information from the complainant and the owner as it deems necessary to complete its review.
- 12.18 The office may retain such consultants or experts as it deems necessary to complete its review.
- 12.19 The office shall issue a written decision and may take such enforcement action as it deems appropriate with respect to the complaint.

- 12.120 The decision of the office and enforcement action is subject to review under Wis. Stat. § 66.0401(5).
- 12.2 Additional process for large wind energy systems
- 12.21 An owner shall comply with the notice requirements contained in PSC 128.42(1).
- 12.22 An owner shall, before construction of a large wind energy system begins, provide the office with a copy of the notice issued pursuant to PSC 128.42(1), along with a list showing the name and address of each person to whom the notice was sent and a list showing the name and address of each political subdivision to which the notice was sent.
- 12.23 An owner shall, before construction of a large wind energy system begins, file with the office the name and telephone number of the owner's contact person for receipt of complaints or concerns during construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning. The owner shall keep the name and telephone number of the contact person on file with the office current.

SECTION XIII – VIOLATIONS, ENFORCEMENT, AND PENTALTIES

13.0 Violations

- 13.01 It is unlawful for any person to violate any provision of this ordinance.
- 13.02 It is unlawful for any person to knowingly provide false information, make a false statement, fail to provide, or misrepresent any material fact to a county agent, board, commission, committee, department, employee, official, or officer acting in an official capacity under this ordinance.
- 13.03 It is unlawful for a person to disobey; fail, neglect, or refuse to comply with; or otherwise resist a permit or order issued pursuant to this ordinance.
- 13.04 A separate offense is deemed committed on each day that a violation occurs or continues.

13.1 Enforcement

- 13.11 Office Authority. The office shall enforce this ordinance and may conduct inspections and investigate complaints relating to compliance with this ordinance.
- 13.12 Inspection Authority. The office may request permission to inspect, at a reasonable time and date, any premises or structure for which a permit has been applied for or granted to determine compliance with this ordinance. Refusal to grant permission is grounds for denial or revocation of a permit. If permission is not given, the office may apply for, obtain, and execute a special inspection warrant pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 66.0119.
- 13.13 Notice of Noncompliance. If the office finds a violation of any provision of this ordinance, the office may issue a written notice to the owner stating the conditions of non-compliance, specifying the action required to come into compliance, and providing a reasonable amount of time within which compliance is required.
- 13.14 Permit Revocation Authority. The office may revoke a permit for substantial noncompliance with any provision of this ordinance, refusal to permit inspection of wind energy systems

facilities for which a permit has been granted, or failure to comply with the action requirement contained in a notice of noncompliance.

- 13.15 Citation Authority. The office may issue a citation for any violation of this ordinance. The office is not required to issue a notice of noncompliance or take any other action prior to issuing a citation.
- 13.16 Legal Referral. The office may refer a violation of this ordinance to corporation counsel for legal action, including an action seeking injunctive relief. The office is not required to issue a notice of noncompliance or take any other action prior to referring a violation to corporation counsel.
- 13.17 Other Enforcement Means. Nothing in this section may be construed to prevent the county from using any other lawful means to enforce this ordinance.

13.2 Penalties

- 13.21 A person will, upon conviction for any violation of this ordinance, forfeit not less than \$100 nor more than \$1,000 for each offense, together with the costs of prosecution for each violation, and may be ordered to take such action as is necessary to abate the offense within a specified time.
- 13.22 The minimum and maximum forfeitures specified in this section are doubled each time that a person is convicted for the same violation of this ordinance within any 12 month period.
- 13.23 A person who has the ability to pay a forfeiture entered pursuant to this ordinance, but who fails or refuses to do so may be confined in the county jail until the forfeiture and costs are paid, but the period of confinement may not exceed 30 days. In determining whether a person has the ability to pay, all items of income and all assets may be considered regardless of whether the income and assets are subject to garnishment, lien, or attachment by creditors.
- 13.24 The failure of a county employee, official, or officer to perform an official duty imposed by a section of this code will not subject the employee, official, or officer to a penalty unless the section imposing the duty also specifies the penalty.

This ordinance is effective on the day following publication per Wisconsin Statute. Adopted this 13th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Robert C. Keeney Grant County Chairman

ATTEST: /s/ Linda K. Gebhard Grant County Clerk

CERTIFICATION OF ADOPTION

This is to certify that the above ordinance was duly adopted by the County Board of Grant County on the 13th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Linda K. Gebhard Grant County Clerk Grant County, Wisconsin

<u>Resolution to set Wind Energy Siting Fee:</u> Lynda Schweikert, Conservation, Sanitation, Zoning Director presented the resolution to set fees for wind system permits for approval by the Board.

A motion was made by Mike Lieurance, seconded by Dwight Nelson, to approve Resolution 31-18 setting wind system permit fees. Motion carried.

Resolution Number: 31-18

Whereas, the Grant County Board of Supervisors did adopt the Grant County Wind Energy System Siting Ordinance;

Whereas, the administration and enforcement of said ordinance comes at a cost;

Whereas, the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Committee is recommending that this cost should be borne primarily by those in need of the service versus the general tax payer;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the Grant County Board of Supervisors do hereby adopt this resolution as recommended by the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation & Zoning Committee setting permit fees as follows associated with the administration and enforcement of the Grant County Wind Energy System Siting Ordinance.

Zoning Permit for a Small Energy Wind System - \$100/Turbine Zoning Permit for a Large Energy Wind System - \$200/Turbine

Adopted this 13th day of November, 2018

Robert Keeney Grant County Chairman

Attest: I, Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that the Resolution to the Grant County Wind Energy System Siting Ordinance has been approved by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on November 13th, 2018. /s/ Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk

<u>Mobile Tower Siting Ordinance</u>: Lynda Schweikert, Conservation, Sanitation, Zoning Director presented the Grant County Mobile Tower Siting Permit Ordinance to the Board for approval. The purpose of this ordinance is to regulate the siting and construction of any new mobile service support structure and/or substantial modification of an existing support structure.

Don Splinter, seconded by Gary Northouse, made a motion to approve the Grant County Mobile Tower Siting Permit Ordinance as presented. Motion carried.

Ordinance No. 69

SECTION I – TITLE

This ordinance is entitled the Grant County Mobile Tower Siting Permit Ordinance.

SECTION II – PURPOSE

The purpose of this ordinance is to regulate by zoning permit (1) the siting and construction of any new mobile service support structure and facilities; (2) with regard to a class 1 collocation, the substantial modification of an existing support structure and mobile service facilities; and (3) with regard to a class 2 collocation, collocation on an existing support structure which does not require the substantial modification of an existing support structure and mobile service facilities. It is intended that Grant County shall apply these regulations to accomplish the following:

A. Maintain and ensure that a nondiscriminatory, competitive and broad range of telecommunications services and high quality telecommunications infrastructure consistent with the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 are provided to serve the community, as well as serve as an important and effective part of the Grant County law enforcement, fire and emergency response network.

B. Provide a process for obtaining necessary permits for telecommunications facilities while at the same time protecting the interests of Grant County citizens.

SECTION III – AUTHORITY

This ordinance is adopted under the authority of §59.69 Wis. Stats and §66.0404 Wis. Stats.

SECTION IV – APPLICABILITY

This ordinance applies to all lands within the boundaries of the county lying outside the limits of incorporated cities and villages.

SECTION V – SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this ordinance or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are severable.

SECTION VI – ADMINISTRATION

This ordinance shall be administered by the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Department.

SECTION VII – EXCEPTIONS

Exempt from review under this ordinance will be: television antennas, satellite dishes, receive only antennas, amateur radio facilities, mobile public information services providing coverage of news events or of a temporary or emergency nature, ground mounted antennas. Exempt structures under this ordinance shall be subject to all other applicable provisions of any zoning or other development ordinance in effect.

SECTION VIII – DEFINITIONS

- A. All definitions contained in s. 66.0404(1) are hereby incorporated by reference.
- B. Office. The Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Department.

SECTION IX – SITING AND CONSTRUCTION OF ANY NEW MOBILE SERVICE SUPPORT STRUCTURE AND FACILITIES

A. Application Process

- 1. A zoning permit is required for the siting and construction of any new mobile service support structure and facilities.
- 2. A written permit application must be completed by any applicant and submitted to the Office. The application must contain the following information:
 - a. The name and business address of, and the contact individual for, the applicant.
 - b. The location of the proposed or affected support structure.

- c. The location of the proposed mobile service facility.
- d. If the application is to substantially modify an existing support structure, a construction plan which describes the proposed modifications to the support structure and the equipment and network components, including antennas, transmitters, receivers, base stations, power supplies, cabling, and related equipment associated with the proposed modifications.
- e. If the application is to construct a new mobile service support structure, a construction plan which describes the proposed mobile service support structure and the equipment and network components, including antennas, transmitters, receivers, base stations, power supplies, cabling, and related equipment to be placed on or around the new mobile service support structure.
- f. If an application is to construct a new mobile service support structure, an explanation as to why the applicant chose the proposed location and why the applicant did not choose collocation, including a sworn statement from an individual who has responsibility over the placement of the mobile service support structure attesting that collocation within the applicant's search ring would not result in the same mobile service functionality, coverage, and capacity; is technically infeasible; or is economically burdensome to the mobile service provider.
- 3. A permit application will be provided by the Office upon request to any applicant.
- 4. If an applicant submits to the Office an application for a permit to engage in an activity described in this ordinance, which contains all of the information required under this ordinance, the Office shall consider the application complete. If the Office does not believe that the application is complete, the Office shall notify the applicant in writing, within 10 days of receiving the application, that the application is not complete. The written notification shall specify in detail the required information that was incomplete. An applicant may resubmit an application as often as necessary until it is complete.
- 5. Within 90 days of its receipt of a complete application, the Office shall complete all of the following or the applicant may consider the application approved, except that the applicant and the Office may agree in writing to an extension of the 90 day period:
 - a. Review the application to determine whether it complies with all applicable aspects of this Code.
 - b. Make a final decision whether to approve or disapprove the application.
 - c. Notify the applicant, in writing, of its final decision.
 - d. If the decision is to disapprove the application, include with the written notification substantial evidence which supports the decision.
- 6. The Office may disapprove an application if an applicant refuses to evaluate the feasibility of collocation within the applicant's search ring and provide the sworn statement described under paragraph 2.f.

- 7. Setback. No mobile service support structure can be closer than 50 feet or the height of the tower, whichever is greater, to any property line. If an applicant provides the Office with an engineering certification showing that a mobile service support structure, or an existing structure, is designed to collapse within a smaller area than this setback distance, the lesser of the two distances shall apply to such a structure unless the Office provides the applicant with substantial evidence that the engineering certification is flawed.
- 8. The fee for the permit is \$3,000.00

SECTION X - CLASS 1 COLLOCATION

A. Application Process

- 1. A county zoning permit is required for a class 1 collocation.
- 2. A written permit application must be completed by any applicant and submitted to the Office. The application must contain the following information:
 - a. The name and business address of, and the contact individual for, the applicant.
 - b. The location of the proposed or affected support structure.
 - c. The location of the proposed mobile service facility.
 - d. If the application is to substantially modify an existing support structure, a construction plan which describes the proposed modifications to the support structure and the equipment and network components, including antennas, transmitters, receivers, base stations, power supplies, cabling, and related equipment associated with the proposed modifications.
 - e. If the application is to construct a new mobile service support structure, a construction plan which describes the proposed mobile service support structure and the equipment and network components, including antennas, transmitters, receivers, base stations, power supplies, cabling, and related equipment to be placed on or around the new mobile service support structure.
 - f. If an application is to construct a new mobile service support structure, an explanation as to why the applicant chose the proposed location and why the applicant did not choose collocation, including a sworn statement from an individual who has responsibility over the placement of the mobile service support structure attesting that collocation within the applicant's search ring would not result in the same mobile service functionality, coverage, and capacity; is technically infeasible; or is economically burdensome to the mobile service provider.
- 3. A permit application will be provided by the Office upon request to any applicant.
- 4. If an applicant submits to the Office an application for a permit to engage in an activity described in this ordinance, which contains all of the information required under this ordinance, the Office shall consider the application complete. If the Office does not believe that the application is complete, the Office shall notify the applicant in writing, within 10 days

- of receiving the application, that the application is not complete. The written notification shall specify in detail the required information that was incomplete. An applicant may resubmit an application as often as necessary until it is complete.
- 5. Within 90 days of its receipt of a complete application, the Office shall complete all of the following or the applicant may consider the application approved, except that the applicant and the town may agree in writing to an extension of the 90 day period:
 - a. Review the application to determine whether it complies with all applicable aspects of the county's land use regulations and, subject to the limitations in this section, zoning ordinances.
 - b. Make a final decision whether to approve or disapprove the application.
 - c. Notify the applicant, in writing, of its final decision.
 - d. If the decision is to disapprove the application, include with the written notification substantial evidence which supports the decision.
- 6. The Office may disapprove an application if an applicant refuses to evaluate the feasibility of collocation within the applicant's search ring and provide the sworn statement described under paragraph 2.f.
- 7. Setback. No mobile service support structure can be closer than 50 feet or the height of the tower, whichever is greater, to any property line. If an applicant provides the Office with an engineering certification showing that a mobile service support structure, or an existing structure, is designed to collapse within a smaller area than this setback distance, the lesser of the two distances shall apply to such a structure unless the Office provides the applicant with substantial evidence that the engineering certification is flawed.
- 8. The fee for the permit is \$3,000.00

SECTION XI – CLASS 2 COLLOCATION

A. Application Process

- 1. A county zoning permit is required for a class 2 collocation.
- 2. A written permit application must be completed by any applicant and submitted to the Office. The application must contain the following information:
 - a. The name and business address of, and the contact individual for, the applicant.
 - b. The location of the proposed or affected support structure.
 - c. The location of the proposed mobile service facility.
- 3. A permit application will be provided by the Office upon request to any applicant.
- 4. If an applicant submits to the Office an application for a permit to engage in an activity described in this ordinance, which contains all of the information required under this

ordinance, the Office shall consider the application complete. If any of the required information is not in the application, the Office shall notify the applicant in writing, within 5 days of receiving the application, that the application is not complete. The written notification shall specify in detail the required information that was incomplete. An applicant may resubmit an application as often as necessary until it is complete.

- 5. Within 45 days of its receipt of a complete application, the Office shall complete all of the following or the applicant may consider the application approved, except that the applicant and the town may agree in writing to an extension of the 45 day period:
 - a. Make a final decision whether to approve or disapprove the application.
 - b. Notify the applicant, in writing, of its final decision.
 - c. If the application is approved, issue the applicant the relevant permit.
 - d. If the decision is to disapprove the application, include with the written notification substantial evidence which supports the decision.
- 6. The fee for the permit is \$500.00

SECTION XII – PENALTY PROVISIONS

Any person, partnership, corporation, or other legal entity that fails to comply with the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to enforcement actions as prescribed in the Grant County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance

SECTION XIII – TRANSFERABILITY

Permits granted under this ordinance go with the land and are transferable. All ordinance and permit requirements shall apply to subsequent owners. The Office shall be notified of any change in ownership including, but not limited to, facility leases, mortgages, liens or other instruments which may affect title to the property.

SECTION XIV - REMOVAL/SECURITY FOR REMOVAL

- A. It is the express policy of Grant County and this ordinance that mobile service support structures be removed once they are no longer in use and not a functional part of providing mobile service and that it is the mobile service support structure owner's responsibility to remove such mobile service support structures and restore the site to its original condition or a condition approved by the Office. This restoration shall include removal of any subsurface structure or foundation, including concrete, used to support the mobile service support structure down to 5 feet below the surface. After a mobile service support structure is no longer being used for mobile service that is in operation, the mobile service support structure owner shall have one hundred eighty (180) days to effect removal and restoration unless weather prohibits such efforts. The permit holder shall record a document with the Grant County Register of Deeds showing the existence of any subsurface structure remaining below grade. Such recording shall accurately set forth the location and describe the remaining structure.
- **B. Security for Removal**. The owner of any mobile service support structure other than a municipality or other unit of government shall provide to Grant County, prior to the issuance

of the zoning permit, a performance bond in an amount based on a written estimate of a qualified remover of said types of structures, or Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000), whichever is less, to guarantee that the mobile service support structure will be removed when no longer in operation. Grant County will be named as obligee in the bond and must approve the bonding company. The County may require an increase in the bond amount after five (5) year intervals to reflect increases in the Consumer Price Index, but at no point shall the bond amount exceed Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000). The provider shall supply any increased bond within a reasonable time, not exceeding sixty (60) days, after the County's request. A permittee may submit a letter of credit in the amount set forth above, or, in the alternative, a permittee with several sites in the County may submit a master bond to cover all of said sites. A master bond or a letter of credit may, in the Committee's discretion, be in an amount sufficient to secure removal from one site if the master bond or letter of credit provides for replenishing any amount used as the master bond or letter of credit covers any other site in the County.

SECTION XV – ACCESS AND EMERGENCY PROTECTION

The owner of a mobile service facilities shall, as a prerequisite to the issuance of the zoning permit, submit to the Office:

- A. Written driveway or road approval from any unit of government having jurisdiction to require a driveway or road to the mobile service facility site
- **B.** Written approval by the highway authority with jurisdiction over any existing or proposed access to a public road intended to serve the mobile service facility.

SECTION XVI - EFFECTIVE DATE

This ordinance is effective on the day following publication per Wisconsin Statute. Adopted this 13th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Robert C. Keeney Grant County Chairman

ATTEST: Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk

CERTIFICATION OF ADOPTION

This is to certify that the above ordinance was duly adopted by the County Board of Grant County on the 13th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Linda K. Gebhard Grant County Clerk Grant County, Wisconsin

Ordinance 65 to Amend Chapter 268 Entitled "Vehicles, All-Terrain and Utility-Terrain" of the Grant County Code: Ben Wood, Corporation Counsel stated there had been a challenge made on the last Ordinance that the County amended on the ATV/UTV Ordinance. So for clarity and to stay within the stipulations of the State Statues in amending an ATV/UTV Ordinance the following ordinance was drafted. This will also make it easier to enforce for Law Enforcement in the future. This ordinance amendment was approved by the Highway Committee and Law Enforcement Committee.

A motion was made by Don Splinter, seconded by Lester Jantzen, to approve the Amendment to the Grant County Ordinance 65 regarding ATV/UTV's. Motion carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 65

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 268 ENTITLED "VEHICLES, ALL-TERRAIN AND UTILITY-TERRAIN" OF THE GRANT COUNTY CODE.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Supervisors of Grant County, Wisconsin, does ordain as follows:

Section I: Chapter 268 of the Grant County Code shall be and hereby is amended to repeal and recreate Section 268-2 to read as follows:

§ 268-2 Applicability and enforcement.

- (a) The provisions of this ordinance shall apply to the areas designated in section 268-4, including roadways within the jurisdiction of the County. The provisions of this ordinance shall be enforced by the Grant County Sheriff's Office.
- (b) Adoption of this ordinance shall not prohibit any law enforcement officer or DNR warden from proceeding under any other ordinance, regulation, statute, law or order that pertains to the subject matter addressed under this section.
- (c) Grant County hereby adopts and incorporates Wisconsin Statute Section 23.33 for all other provisions not specifically covered by this ordinance.

<u>Section II</u>: This ordinance shall take effect upon its passage and publication or posting as required by law. All other sections of Chapter 268 of the Grant County Code not specifically amended under this ordinance shall remain in full effect as drafted.

Adopted and approved this 13th day of November, 2018, by the Grant County Board of Supervisors.

BOARD OF SUPERVISERS BY:

/s/Robert C. Keeney, County Board Chair

ATTEST: I, Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that the amendment to Chapter 268 of the Grant County Ordinances has been approved by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on November 13, 2018. /s/ Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk

<u>Dog Claim(s)</u>: Grant County Humane Society: A motion was made by Roger Guthrie, seconded by Gary Northouse to approve the payment for \$300.00 for dog claims to the Grant County Humane Society. Motion carried.

<u>Discussion/possible action on Roll Call RTS System</u>: Shane Drinkwater, IT Director updated the Board on discussions regarding the Roll Call voting system for the Board room at the Administrative Committee. He reiterated the costs that were previously submitted to the committee and County Board Members and included the quote he received from Lifeline on the audio equipment needed to upgrade the microphone system. The software would be \$9,990.00, the quote from Lifeline for equipment would be \$17,819.00, and the tablets would be \$4,385.00.

Robert Keeney indicated that the current motion made by the County Board of Supervisors at the October meeting was to go forward with only the roll call system and tablets, not going forward on the right to speak system. Shane Drinkwater stated the audio module could be added at a later time. Carol Beals stated the microphone system in the County Board room is very outdated and should be dealt with in the near future to upgrade the system. Shane agreed the system is getting older and some of the components are at the end of life; they will have to be replaced going forward.

No further action was taken on this issue the recommendation of the County Board was to stay with the original motion made at the October meeting to go forward on the roll call voting system and the tablets for the County Board Members at this time only.

<u>County Board Compensation</u>: Chair Keeney opened the floor up to the County Board Members for discussion. There is some confusion regarding receiving per diem for attending conferences. One question was, "Should a conference be deemed a mandatory meeting?" Some board members felt if you want the Board Members to attend these conferences especially going forward to entice new people to run for the board position they may not attend. The Board Members felt these conferences were all very important to attend to get good information regarding county government. It was agreed that all the conferences are beneficial, one not being better than another; that is why they are held to inform the Board Members. Some felt if your registration and mileage is paid no further compensation is needed. Some of the Board Members felt there were issues discussed at these conferences that you would never know about if you didn't attend these conferences.

Roger Guthrie made a motion to eliminate payment of per diem to attend any conference, mileage would still be paid. The motion was seconded by Dwight Nelson. Chair Keeney asked for discussion.

It was brought up that this specific item was not referenced on the agenda. Ben Wood, Corporation Counsel stated point of order, he wanted to make sure the change being stated would only affect the Administrative Manuel and not affect a change in the County Board rules. He stated it was very vaguely stated in the line item but he felt the Board could go forward with the vote. John Beinborn stated again that he felt it was very important that the Board Members receive their per diem and mileage for attending these conferences; that should not be taken away.

Chair Keeney restated the motion: Roger Guthrie, seconded by Dwight Nelson, made a motion to eliminate payment of per diem for attending any conference; however mileage would still be paid. A roll call vote was called for.

Chair Keeney stated a yes vote agrees that per diems will not be paid, a no vote would mean per diems would be continued to be paid.

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE: November 13, 2018

QUESTION: To pay or not pay per diems for attending conferences

		YES	NO	EXCUSED
1.	GARY RANUM		X	
2.	GARY NORTHOUSE		Χ	
3.	ROBERT SCALLON			X
4.	ROBERT KEENEY	X		
5.	ROGER GUTHRIE	X		
6.	JOHN PATCLE	X		
7.	GREGORY FRY		X	
8.	RONALD COPPERNOLL		X	
9.	MIKE LIEURANCE		X	
10	MARK STEAD	X		

	YES	NO	EXCUSED
11. DALE HOOD		X	
12. DWIGHT NELSON	X		
13. CAROL BEALS		Χ	
14. LESTER JANTZEN		Χ	
15. JOHN BEINBORN		Χ	
16. DONALD SPLINTER		Χ	
17. PORTER WAGNER		Χ	

County Clerk took the roll call vote resulting in 5 Yes votes, 11 No votes and 1 Excused. Therefore motion failed. Roger Guthrie had to leave the meeting.

Ben Wood, Corporation Counsel stated the problem seems to be that the Administrative Manual stated something different than the County Board Rules state. The question being what is the default rule that will be used going forward. Ben questioned wshat is being voted on; is the vote meant to change the Administrative Manuel or the County Board Rules or are we just passing a motion in the minutes that conferences will not be paid. Dale Hood asked how rules can be changed during mid-term of the County Board terms. Ben Wood stated what the default rule is; he thinks the Board is looking for clarification at this point because there are two documents that state two different things.

John Beinborn asked if another motion could be made to state that a per diem will be paid for attending the WCA Convention. Ben Wood asked, "What body of rules are you asking to be changed?" In midterm the County Board rules cannot be altered, the Administrative Manual can be changed with no consequence but then you have two documents that still state two different things. Ben stated the motion could be made but he thinks there may be some question in changing compensation during midterm of a session.

Chair Keeney restated the motion: John Beinborn, seconded by Carol Beals made a motion that two days of per diems will be paid for attending the WCA Convention. Discussion was asked for.

Mark Stead asked if this meant the payments are for future conventions or also past conventions that had been attended by the County Board Members.

Gary Ranum asked if this meant all WCA Meeting and Seminars such as the Ambassador Program and Legislative Exchange or is the motion just the WCA Convention held in September of each year.

Chair Keeney stated that the County Board Rules do not state that per diems will not be paid for convention. The only document that states to not pay for conventions was on the Administrative Committee spreadsheet during the committee restructuring.

Ben stated in light of this information, the appropriate plan of action would be to rescind the motion at this time. For now, per diems should be paid which will be retroactive back to April 2018 when the County Board term started. Before April 2020 when the new term for the County Board Members will begin, this item should be dealt with at the Administrative Committee during the restructuring process.

John Beinborn and Carol Beals agreed to rescind their motion at this time.

<u>Committee Reports</u>: Carol Beals, seconded by Mark Stead, because of the lengthy meeting; made a motion to eliminate the committee reports until the December meeting. Motion carried.

<u>Adjournment</u>: Lester Jantzen, seconded by Mark Stead, made a motion to adjourn the meeting pursuant to the next meeting on December 18, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. Motion carried.