

**Grant County Board of Supervisors  
August 16, 2016**

The Grant County Board of Supervisors met on Tuesday, August 16, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. in Room 264 on second floor of the Administration Building, Lancaster, WI pursuant to the adjournment of the July 19, 2016 meeting.

Robert Keeney, County Board Chair called the meeting to order and the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Verification of compliance with the open meeting law was a notice in the Herald Independent stating the date, time and place of the County Board Meeting and posted in two public posting locations and the county website verified by Linda K. Gebhard, County Clerk.

Roll Call, August 16, 2016

	Present	Absent	Excused
Carol Beals	X		
John Beinborn	X		
Ronald Coppernoll	X		
Roger Guthrie	X		
Dale Hood	X		
Lester Jantzen	X		
Robert Keeney	X		
Mike Lieurance	X		
Grant Loy	X		
Dwight Nelson	X		
John Patcle	X		
Gary Ranum	X		
Patricia Reynolds	X		
Robert Scallon	X		
Donald Splinter	X		
Mark Stead	X		
Daniel Timmerman	X		

The Clerk took the roll call resulting in 17 present; therefore a quorum was present.

Agenda: John Beinborn, seconded by Roger Guthrie made a motion to approve the amended agenda as printed. Motion carried.

Minutes: Donald Splinter, seconded by Patricia Reynolds made a motion to approve the minutes of July 19, 2016 with the following corrections. In committee reports add ,”Army” Corps of Engineer will take over the mowing at O’Leary Boat Landing and a Grant County Deputy was assaulted at a Fennimore business establishment instead of a Lancaster business establishment. Motion carried.

Comprehensive Plan Change: Lynda Schweikert, Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Director presented the Comprehensive Plan Change; approved by the township boards and Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Board.

**PETITION #16-21:** Rezone request for Robert and Sharon Wood, PIN: 038-00271-0010, to change the zoning classification from FP to M1, to allow for the use of a photovoltaic energy system (solar farm). This is for 11.25 ac in the SW ¼ of the SE ¼, Section 22, T6N, R4W, in Mt. Hope Township.

Roger Guthrie, seconded by Grant Loy, made a motion to approve the Comprehensive Plan change for Robert and Sharon Wood, Mt Hope Township. Motion carried.

527<sup>TH</sup> Zoning Amendment: Lynda Schweikert, Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Director presented the Comprehensive Plan Changes for the following petitions; all were approved by the township boards and Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Board except for Petition 16-25, there was a second meeting on this petition where 2 landowners were opposed, a vote was taken by the committee, one nay vote was recorded for that petition.

**527<sup>th</sup> AMENDMENT TO THE  
GRANT COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE  
August 16<sup>th</sup>, 2016**

WHEREAS, a petition for map amendment was filed and a public hearing was held by the Grant County Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Committee, meeting the requirements of Chapter 59.69 (5) (e) 2.

WHEREAS, a proof of publication and giving notice to each affected township clerk of such hearing is attached to this document. Chapter 59.69 (5) (e) 4

WHEREAS, the Conservation, Sanitation and Zoning Committee now recommends to the Board of Supervisors of Grant County the adoption of the following map amendments.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Grant County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows. That the Zoning District Map for Grant County will be amended to reflect the following:

**PETITION #16-21:** Rezone request for Robert and Sharon Wood, PIN: 038-00271-0010, to change the zoning classification from FP to M1, to allow for the use of a photovoltaic energy system (solar farm). This is for 11.25 ac in the SW ¼ of the SE ¼, Section 22, T6N, R4W, in Mt. Hope Township.

**PETITION #16-22:** Rezone request for Mike and Nancy Porter, PIN: Portion of 016-00345-0000, and 016-00344-0000, to change the zoning classification from FP to A2, to allow for the use of a non-farm residence. This is for 3.7 ac in the SW ¼ & the SE ¼ of the NW ¼ of section 17, T6N, R2W, in Fennimore Township

**PETITION #16-23:** Rezone request for Mickey & Janet Pinkham, PIN: 028-00667-0000 & 028-00673-0000, to change the zoning classification from FP to A2, to allow for the use of a non-farm residence. This is for 11.4 ac in the NE ¼ of the SW ¼ and the NW ¼ of the SE ¼ of section 24, T5N, R2W, in Liberty Township

**PETITION #16-24:** Rezone request for Thomas Patzner, PIN: 052-00910-0000, to change the zoning classification from FP to R1, to allow for the use of a non-farm residence. This is for 1.29 ac in the SW ¼ of the NW ¼ of section 2, T2N, R3W, in Potosi Township

**PETITION #16-25:** Rezone request for Thomas Patzner, PIN: 052-00935-0000, to change the zoning classification from FP to A2, to allow for the use of a non-farm residence. This is for 1.20 ac in the SW ¼ of the NW1/4 of section 2, T2N, R3W, in Potosi Township

**PETITION #16-26:** Rezone request for Thomas Patzner, PIN: 052-00930-0000, 052-00927-0000 & a portion of 052-00929-0000, to change the zoning classification from FP to A2, to allow for the use of a non-farm residence. This is for 5.55 ac in the NE ¼ of the NE ¼ and the NW ¼ of the NE ¼ of section 3, T2N, R3W, in Potosi Township

Adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors this 16<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2016

/s/ Robert Keeney, County Board Chairman

Attest: /s/ Linda Gebhard, County Clerk

Lester Jantzen, seconded by Ron Coppernoll, made a motion to approve the 527<sup>th</sup> Zoning Amendment, including Petition #16-21, #16-22, #16-23, #16-24, #16-25 and #16-26. Motion carried.

Proposed changes to ATV/UTV Ordinance: Dave Lambert, Highway Commissioner presented Ordinance 65 ATV/UTV Ordinance for Grant County. The following changes were approved by the Highway Committee and recommended for passage by the County Board of Supervisors.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 65**

#### **AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE CHAPTER 268 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF GRANT COUNTY, WISCONSIN, RELATING TO ALL-TERRAIN AND UTILITY VEHICLE ROUTES.**

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COUNTY BOARD OF GRANT COUNTY, WISCONSIN, DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

**Section I:** Chapter 268 of the County's Code of Ordinances is hereby created to read as follows:

See attached Addendum A.

**Section II:** This ordinance shall take effect upon its passage and publication or posting as required by law.

Adopted and approved this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2013.

GRANT COUNTY BY:

/s/ Larry Wolf, Grant County Chair

#### **COUNTERSIGNED AND ATTESTED:**

**ATTESTED:** I Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that this Ordinance was adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2013.

/s/ Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk,

Dated: April 16, 2013

Date Adopted: April 16, 2013

Date Recorded: April 16, 2013

Date Published: April 24, 2013

Effective Date: Upon publication

/s/ Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk

**GRANT COUNTY ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE and UTILITY-TERRAIN VEHICLE ROUTE ORDINANCE**  
**Chapter 268**

**268-1 PURPOSE:**

The purpose of this ordinance is to establish an all-terrain vehicle/utility-terrain vehicle route and provide safe and enjoyable all-terrain vehicle/utility-terrain vehicle recreation consistent with public rights and interests pursuant to Wis. Stat. secs. 23.33(8)(b) and 23.33(11).

**268-2 APPLICABILITY AND ENFORCEMENT:**

- (a) The provisions of this ordinance shall apply to the areas designated in section 268-4, including roadways within the jurisdiction of the County. The provisions of this ordinance shall be enforced by the Grant County Sheriff's Department.
- (b) Adoption of this ordinance shall not prohibit any law enforcement officer or DNR warden from proceeding under any other ordinance, regulation, statute, law or order that pertains to the subject matter addressed under this section.

**268-3 LIMITATIONS:**

The following limitations apply on all areas designated in section 268-4 of this ordinance.

- (a) No ATV/UTV shall be operated at a speed greater than ~~30 miles per hour unless~~ the posted ~~at a higher~~ speed limit.
- (b) No ATV/UTV may be operated on any designated route without fully functional headlights, tail-lights, and brake lights.
- (c) ATV/UTV's may be operated on paved roadway surfaces only.
- (d) No ATV/UTV may be operated on any designated route between the hours of 1:00 A.M. to 5:00 A.M. daily
- (e) No person under the age of sixteen (16) may operate an ATV/UTV on any designated route.
- (f) No person under the age of eighteen (18) may operate an ATV/UTV on any designated route unless wearing approved protective head gear.
- (g) No ATV/YTV may be operated on any designated route which ATV/UTV does not meet all applicable Wisconsin State noise pollution standards.

**268-4 AREAS DESIGNATED:** The area designated as an all-terrain vehicle/utility-terrain vehicle route shall be as follows:

**(a) County Highway A, from County Highway X, South and East to CTH VV, within the Village of Bagley and the Town of Bloomington;**

(1) The Grant County Highway Commissioner shall have the authority to suspend operation in any of the above areas for up to ninety (90) days due to hazard, construction, or emergency conditions in any highway segment listed above. The Grant County Highway Commissioner shall also have the authority to designate for up to ninety (90) days alternate routes for any of the above authorized highway segments that might be so closed.

(2) Every area authorized as an all-terrain vehicle/utility-terrain vehicle route shall be designated by an all-terrain vehicle/utility-terrain vehicle route sign having a reflectorized white all-terrain vehicle symbol, bordered and message on a reflectorized green background with a minimum size of 24 inches by 18 inches with directional arrow, where appropriate, placed at the beginning of an ATV/UTV route and at such locations and intervals as necessary to enable the ATV/UTV operators to follow the route.

**268-5**

**ROUTE SIGNS:**

- (a) All required designated route signs shall be paid for ~~and installed~~ by an ATV/UTV club, approved **and installed** under the direction of the Grant County Highway Commissioner.
- (b) All signs posted shall be in compliance with the Federal manual on uniform traffic control devices.
- (c) No sign may be mounted on any existing County sign post, unless authorized by the Grant County Highway Commissioner or designee.
- (d) No person may erect, remove, obscure, or deface any official designated route sign unless authorized by the Grant County Highway Commissioner or designee.
- (e) No person shall operate an ATV/UTV contrary to any authorized and official posted sign.

**268-6**

**PENALTIES:**

Penalty for violation of Sections 268-3(1),(c) and (d) and 268.5(a)-(e), shall be not more than \$200.00 plus costs.

Penalty for violation of Sections 268-3(b),(e),(f) and (g), shall be not more than \$100.00 plus costs.

Penalty for violation of any other provision of this ordinance for which no specific penalty is specified shall be not more than \$50.00 plus costs.

**VIOLATIONS, JUDGMENTS, RESTITUTIONS:**

A judgment obtained under the sections and subsections of Chapter 268 of the Grant County Code may be enforced in the same manner as any civil judgment. Penalties imposed for violations of said ordinances of Grant County shall be made according to the schedule of forfeitures listed in Section 268-6 of the Grant County Code as hereinafter enacted. The procedure set forth in S. 800.09, Wisconsin Statutes, shall apply to all violations of the sections and subsections of Chapter 268 of the Grant County Code.

Persons accused of violating such ordinances may be cited and summoned to appear in the Grant County Circuit Court by use of one of the forms of appropriate municipal citations. A uniform appearance deposit may be required of any person issued a citation and summons for a violation of any ordinance enacted under Chapter 268 of the Grant County Code. Upon default in payment of the prescribed forfeiture plus all applicable court costs and restitution, the Grant County Circuit Court shall follow the procedures set forth in S. 800.095, Wisconsin Statutes, including imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days, performance of a community service work or suspension of the person's Wisconsin operating privileges as set forth in S. 800.095(4)(b), Wisconsin Statutes.

If the circuit court finds that the violation involves an ordinance that prohibits conduct that is the same as or similar to conduct prohibited by state statute punishable by fine or imprisonment or both, and further finds that the violation resulted in damage to the property or physical injury to a person other than the alleged violator, the circuit court may order restitution to be paid by the violator as part of the judgment pursuant to S. 800.093, Wisconsin Statutes.

**JUVENILE PENALTIES:**

Any juvenile who violates this Section shall be subject to the forfeitures prescribed in subsection 268-6 of this Section except that the provisions for the incarceration in jail for the nonpayment of forfeiture shall not apply to juveniles.

Upon default of payment of any forfeiture imposed by this Section, a court may order any license issued to a juvenile under Chapter 29 of the Wisconsin Statutes suspended or may order the child's operating privileges as defined in subsection 340.01(40) of the Wisconsin Statutes suspended for not less than thirty (30) days or more than ninety (90) days. Prior to suspension of licenses under this subsection, the court shall make a finding that the juvenile alone is financially able to pay the amount of the forfeiture and shall allow up to twelve (12) months for the juvenile to make

payment. The court shall immediately take possession of any suspended license and forward it to the department which issued the license together with the notice of suspension clearly stating that the suspension is for failure to pay a forfeiture imposed by the court. If the forfeiture is paid during the first thirty (30) days after the license is suspended, the suspension shall be reduced to the minimum period of thirty (30) days. If it is paid thereafter, the court shall immediately notify the appropriate department which will thereupon return the license to the person.

**268-9**

**UNIFORM CITATION AND SUMMONS:**

A uniform county ordinance violation citation shall be created and reproduced in such a fashion as to provide alleged violators with proper notice of the ordinance violation alleged and date, time and place of said violation along with a summons to appear before the circuit court for Grant County at the courthouse in Lancaster, Wisconsin, at a date and time specified in the citation and shall provide an option of posting a uniform forfeiture deposit in lieu of the defendant's appearance on that date. The form of such citation and summons shall be on file in the office of the Clerk of Court.

Other uniform citations adopted by the State of Wisconsin for the use of counties and other municipalities may be used in the prosecution of violations under this ordinance.

Adopted and approved this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2013.

GRANT COUNTY BY:

/s/ Larry Wolf, Grant County Chair

COUNTERSIGNED AND ATTESTED:

**ATTESTED:** I Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk, do certify that this Ordinance was adopted by the Grant County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2013.

Date Adopted: April 16, 2013

Date Recorded: April 16, 2013

Date Published:

Effective Date: Upon publication

/s/ Linda K. Gebhard, Grant County Clerk

More discussion took place regarding the posted speed limits per municipalities were the routes have been approved locally. Chair Robert Keeney handed out information regarding the municipalities who have passed their own ATV/UTV routes throughout Grant County, most of them go with the posted speed limits in their municipalities.

A motion was made by Don Splinter, seconded by Dan Timmerman to approve both of the ordinance changes in the Grant County ATV/UTV Ordinance 65 (268.3A regarding speed limits) and (268.5A regarding installation of signs). Motion carried.

Communications: Chair Robert Keeney updated the County Board of Supervisors on Facility Dude. An introductory webinar has been viewed by the Department Heads, Finance Director and County Board Chair who will be involved in this system. There are further trainings scheduled for the employees who will be working with Facility Dude in September regarding the set up and usage of the system.

CGI started to film the video welcome; filming took place at the 2016 Grant County Fair. Further filming will continue in September to complete this project including education, quality of life, health care, tourism and events.

Employee Recognition: None

Appointments: None

Grants: None

Resolution Quit Claim for Thomas R. Newberry: Louise Ketterer, Treasurer presented the Resolution for a quit claim for Thomas R. Newberry in the City of Fennimore. The County took title to the property by tax deed on July 18, 2016 the property had been delinquent for the past 6 years. At the last minute the tax payer came up with the money to pay the back taxes to try and save his property. There were two bids received on this property that were going to be acted on the next day to complete this tax deed process.

Roger Guthrie, seconded by Lester Jantzen moved to dispense of the reading of the resolution. Motion carried.

One of the contending bidders, Troy Larson, Larson Funeral Home in Fennimore had asked to speak to the Board of Supervisors. He explained in his opinion Mr. Newberry does not have the resources to take care of this property in the future and all though Mr. Newberry came up with money for the back taxes this time, in three years the County would be back in this same situation.

Supervisor John Beinborn asked Ben Wood, Corporation Counsel if it was known what plans the City of Fennimore had in taking care of this property. Troy Larson stated he talked to the city; they had no plans until they knew what the County was going to do.

Supervisor Mark Stead stated that there was a motion on the floor at the Executive Committee held on August 9, 2016 to accept the bid from Troy Larson; that motion failed for lack of a majority.

Ben Wood, Corporation Counsel stated that the County was in unchartered territory. The tax payer did come up with the money to satisfy the back taxes although it was after the county had taken possession of the property. State Statues state the county "may" accept the payment which could be translated either way. There is a bid on the table to purchase the property. Supervisor Ron Coppernoll asked how long has this property been in decline. The property has had no upgrades since 1985.

Don Splinter made a motion, seconded by Carol Beals to accept the delinquent tax payment submitted by Mr. Newberry to satisfy the back taxes and to pass the resolution allowing Mr. Newberry to buy back his property and the County will quit claim the property back to him. Motion



carried acknowledging 5 negative votes, Mark Stead, John Beinborn, John Patcle, Dan Timmerman and Ron Coppernoll.

**RESOLUTION NO. #05-16**

The Grant County Board of Supervisors herein authorizes the Grant County Treasurer to Quit Claim to the former owner, Thomas R. Newberry, the following described piece or parcel of land, lying and being situated in the County of Grant, to-wit:

A parcel of land in the City of Fennimore, Grant County, Wisconsin, described as commencing at a point 60 feet East of the Northeast corner of Block Seven (7) of the Original Plat, to the Village (now City) of Fennimore, Grant County, Wisconsin; thence running South 120 feet; thence running East 106 feet; thence running North 120 feet; thence running West 106 feet to the place of beginning. The above described property is a part of Outlot 102 of the Assessment Plat to the City of Fennimore, Grant County Wisconsin.

TAX KEY PARCEL NUMBER: 226-00512-0000

Property Address: 1025 Jackson Street, Fennimore Wisconsin 53809

Said property had been acquired by Grant County by means of a Tax Deed (Exhibit 1) as referenced in Ordinance # 39 (Exhibit 2). As outlined under Grant County Ordinance Section 242-3, Repurchase option, subsections A and B have been satisfied with Thomas R. Newberry having paid to the Grant County Treasurer all delinquent taxes, accumulated interest charges and all costs incurred by Grant County in proceedings necessary to obtain and file the tax deed in the amount of \$13,298.12. Therefore, the Grant County Board of Supervisors exercises the repurchase option as listed in Section 242-3 of the Grant County Code.

Accepted and approved this 16<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2016, by the Grant County Board Supervisors.

BOARD OF SUPERVISERS BY:

/s/ Robert C. Keeney, County Chair

Jail/Sheriff's Department/Social Services/ADRC Building:

**Review of Schematic Design site plan, floor plans and exterior design and Review of Development Proposal for Social Services and ADRC Building – Eric Lawson and Kevin Anderson from Potter Lawson.**

Eric explained to the Supervisors their goal is to receive approval on the schematic design and cost first; the site selection will be next step looking at the Lippert site, FS site and Orchard Manor site and then the design development. He explained the steps that had been taken to develop the schematic design with this process starting back in May. First they did a space needs program listing of all the spaces, staff and areas of space per department to determine the projection of the size of the building. Meetings were held with all the Departments involved to access their space needs for present and for future; needs for growth in three, five, ten years, etc. This study was based on the needs in a three year term. In June the Administrative Committee decided to accept the schematic design for the space needs based on the projections for 2021. The plans included an 85 bed Sheriff Department which will have the capability to expand to 110 beds, Jail Support, Social Services, ADRC and Coroner.

From June until July many tours have been taken by the Supervisors and Potter Lawson to Juneau County, Green Lake County correction facilities gaining more information on what works the best

and what does not. Vernon County Law Enforcement facility had also been toured by some of the Supervisors.

Eric presented cost estimates on a facility just over 65,000 gross square feet for the Law Enforcement Facility and 19,062 gross square feet for the Social Services/ADRC Facility. The proposed facility will be a one story building with common areas which can be shared by Law Enforcement and Social Services/ADRC. Eric supplied site plans for the proposed building going through all the details with the Board of Supervisors and answering any questions they had.

#### **Approval to proceed to Design Development and/or Development Services Proposal.**

This phase would be the next process the county would do if this project would proceed forward. This is much more detailed and tends to take an eight week process. Potter Lawson would work directly with the County Staff and Potter Lawson Sub-Consultants to refine all the systems such as structural, mechanical, electrical, etc. This would be a much more intense design phase in going forward. The next step would be the Construction Document Phase which would allow construction to begin in 2017.

#### **Review cost estimate prepared by the Samuels Group – Kurt Bernier, Samuels' Group and Bradley D. Viegut, Managing Director at Baird.**

Kurt presented a spread sheet of costs to the Supervisors based on the discussion that has been taking place for square footage and site. He pointed out some changes in the design which had been added. He did not feel the building that is being proposed is overly elaborate but a very useful structure. He stated the design being able to be expanded in the future is a very wise way to go. He looked at the costs if Law Enforcement and Social Service/ADRC would be separate building; he explained some added costs that would occur in that possibility. He wanted to discuss the differences of owning and leasing which is what D & D Developers is projecting. The total projected cost for the proposed Law Enforcement is \$24,312,075.31 and \$5,834,640.00 for Social Service/ADRC. Kurt stated he has been working with the County for quite a while now in regard to the building projects; he stated the costs will not get any cheaper in time. Kurt urged the County Board; it would be better to make some sort of decision than no decision for the best interest of the county.

Bradley D. Viegut went over some different finance plans Baird has furnished to the County regarding the building projects.

1. Est Project Costs	<b>\$30,143,715</b>
Description	<b>All Projects Simultaneously</b>
Initial Funding Date	<b>2017</b>
Mill Rate Impact	<b>\$0.34 / \$1,000</b>
Maximum Annual Debt Service	<b>\$2,411,738</b>
Total Interest	<b>\$12,237,838</b>
2. Est Project Costs	<b>\$5,834,640</b>
Description	<b>Social Services/ADRC</b>
Initial Funding Date	<b>2017</b>
Mill Rate Impact	<b>\$0.45 / \$1,000</b>
Maximum Annual Debt Service	<b>\$2,740,883</b>
Total Interest	<b>\$17,259,445</b>

<b>Est Project Costs</b>	<b>\$34,487,143 (includes 6% annual escalator to 2016 project cost estimate)</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>Sheriff/Jail/EM</b>
<b>Initial Funding Date</b>	<b>2022</b>
<b>Mill Rate Impact</b>	<b>\$0.45 / \$1,000</b>
<b>Maximum Annual Debt Service</b>	<b>\$2,740,883</b>
<b>Total Interest</b>	<b>\$17,259,445</b>

**Interest Rate Assumptions:**

<b>Year of Financing</b>	<b>2017</b>
<b>Buffer added to current interest rates</b>	<b>+0.25%</b>
<b><i>(Based on current rates for municipal bonds as of 8/12/16)</i></b>	

<b>Year of Financing</b>	<b>2018</b>
<b>Buffer added to current interest rates</b>	<b>+0.50%</b>
<b><i>(Based on current rates for municipal bonds as of 8/12/16)</i></b>	

<b>Year of Financing</b>	<b>2022</b>
<b>Buffer added to current interest rates</b>	<b>+1.00%</b>
<b><i>(Based on current rates for municipal bonds as of 8/12/16)</i></b>	

<b>Year of Financing</b>	<b>2023</b>
<b>Buffer added to current interest rates</b>	<b>+1.00%</b>
<b><i>(Based on current rates for municipal bonds as of 8/12/16)</i></b>	

D & D Developers, Dan Klein, Contractor and Dave Bainbridge was given the opportunity to presented their lease proposal to construct a facility for Social Service/ADRC to the County Board of Supervisors for their consideration.

Social Services/ADRC 21250 square feet  
Includes 2500 square foot garage  
95 – 106 parking stalls  
Cost of Project \$4,100,100.00  
Monthly lease rates \$44,831.34 (Total for a year \$537,976.00)

**Monthly Breakdown:**

<b>Lease</b>	<b>\$44,831.34</b>
<b>All Maintenance/Future Maintenance</b>	<b>\$10,000.00</b>
<b>All reserve account money stays with the building</b>	<b>\$4,151.00</b>
<b>23,750 square feet</b>	
<b>Monthly Total</b>	<b>\$58,982.34</b>
<b>Yearly Cost</b>	<b>\$707,788.08</b>
<b>Per square foot price</b>	<b>\$29.80</b>

Per square foot to build with sewer and water 23,750 \$172.63

John Beinborn, seconded by Gary Ranum made a motion to hold a joint meeting with Executive and Administrative Committee to further discuss this issue taking no action so a recommendation can be brought back to the full County Board, the meeting will be held on September 20, 2016, with a tentative time of 9:00 a.m. Motion carried with one negative vote made by Carol Beals.

Livestock Claim(s): Roger Guthrie presented the dog claims from the Lancaster Vet Clinic totally \$1,025.80.

John Patcle made a motion, seconded by Ronald Coppernoll to approve paying the amount of \$1,025.80 to the Lancaster Vet Clinic for dog claims at this time but going forward Grant County Law Enforcement will take the stray dogs to the Dubuque Human Society in Dubuque, IA or to Barks Vet Clinic in Boscobel, WI until an agreement is accepted and signed between Grant County and the Grant County Humane Society. A vote was taken with the show of hands; motion carried with 5 negative votes, Mark Stead, Dan Timmerman, Carol Beals, Dwight Nelson and Grant Loy.

Committee Reports: Due to the lengthy meeting it was decided to pass on the Committee reports.

Public Comment: Hellmuth Krause was back to discuss a longstanding property dispute concerning easements through pasture land he owns that is used by a neighbor who built a cabin on a parcel.

Ben Wood, Corporation Counsel addressed Mr. Krause reiterating what the responsibility of the county was in the issue and again instructing Mr. Krause to consult his legal counsel to represent him in this matter.

Adjournment: John Patcle, seconded by Dan Timmerman made a motion to adjourn the meeting pursuant to the next meeting on October 4, 2016 at 1:00 p.m. Motion carried with Carol Beals stating one negative vote.