GRANT COUNTY CONSERVATION, SANITATION, & ZONING COMMITTEE MEETING

December 1, 2015 9:00 a.m. Grant County Conference Room (#266) Lancaster, Wisconsin

The Grant County Conservation, Sanitation & Zoning Committee meeting was called to order on December 1, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. by Mark Stead, the Conservation, Sanitation, and Zoning Committee Chairman in the Grant County Conference Room #266, 111 S. Jefferson St., Lancaster.

Board members present: Mark Stead, Lester Jantzen, Dwight Nelson, Roger Guthrie, Gary Northouse, and Pat Schroeder. Dale Hood was excused. Others present: Lynda Schweikert, Annette Lolwing, Kevin Lange, Joe Schmelz, County Board Chairman, Bob Keeney, Myron Tranel, John Roethke, Jeff Udelhofen, Gary Stelpflug, B. Vince Lease, Lee and Shirley Millman.

Certification of Open Meeting Law

Annette Lolwing sent the agenda to Karla Schwantes and Linda Gebhard to post in the Administration Building and at the Courthouse, also sent an agenda to Bob Middendorf, WGLR, and was posted in the front of the Ag Service Center Building. Media notices were sent to Karla Schwantes, Linda Gebhard, Herald Independent, Tri County Press, Platteville Journal, and the Muscoda Progressive.

Approval of Agenda

Motion by Pat Schroder, seconded by Dwight Nelson to approve the Agenda. Motion carried.

Approval of the Minutes

Mark reported that on the Agenda it was printed approval of October 6, 2015 minutes, which it should have read, approval of the November 3, 2015 minutes. Will be added to the January 5th, 2016 Agenda.

Approval of the Bills

Motion by Roger Guthrie, seconded by Lester Jantzen to approve the bills. Motion carried.

Public Comment – None

Public Hearing for Rezones

Chairman Stead opened the Public Hearing:

#15-36 Bernard Lease requesting to change the zoning classification on PIN: 028-00662-0010 and PIN: 028-00668-0010 from FP to A1 of 35.23 ac. to construct a non-farm residence.

In Favor: Vince Lease, Liberty Twp. approved on November 9, 2015.

In Opposition: None

In Interest: None

Mark Stead closed the Public Hearing.

Motion by Pat Schroeder that the items are concurrent with all the conditions on the Farmland Preservation worksheet and to recommend approval of the rezone to the full County Board, seconded by Gary Northouse. Motion carried. (See Attachment A Worksheet)

Chairman Stead opened the Public Hearing:

#15-40 Jeffery and Angela Udelhofen requesting to change the zoning classification on PIN: 020-00376-0000 and part of 020-00379-0000 from FPP to A2 on +-16 ac. to construct a non-farm residence.

In Favor: Jeffrey Udelhofen, and Harrison Twp. approved on November 17, 2015.

In Opposition: None

In Interest: None

Mark Stead closed the Public Hearing.

Motion by Dwight Nelson that the items are concurrent with all the conditions on the Farmland Preservation worksheet and to recommend approval of the rezone to the full County Board, seconded by Lester Jantzen. Motion carried. (See Attachment B Worksheet)

Chairman Stead opened the Public Hearing:

#15-41 Tiffany and Christopher Hintze are requesting to change the zoning classification on part of PIN: 046-00259-0000 and part of PIN: 046-00260-0000 from FPP to A2 on +-3.54 ac. to construct a non-farm residence.

In Favor: Lee and Shirley Millman, and Paris Twp. approved on November 10, 2015.

In Opposition: None

In Interest: None

Mark Stead closed the Public Hearing.

Motion by Lester Jantzen that the items are concurrent with all the conditions on the Farmland Preservation worksheet and to recommend approval of the rezone to the full County Board, seconded by Gary Northouse. Motion carried. (See Attachment C Worksheet)

Chairman Stead opened the Public Hearing:

#15-42 Stelpflug Living Trust requesting to change the zoning classification on PIN: 052-00700-0000 from FPP to A2 on +-12.39 ac. to divide into 2 lots of +- 6 ac. each lot.

In Favor: Gary Stelpflug, and Potosi Twp. approved on November 2, 2015.

In Opposition: None

In Interest: None

Mark Stead closed the Public Hearing.

Motion by Lester Jantzen that the items are concurrent with all the conditions on the Farmland Preservation worksheet and to recommend approval of the rezone to the full County Board, seconded by Roger Guthrie. Motion carried. (See Attachment D Worksheet)

Chairman Stead opened the Public Hearing:

#15-43 John and Barbara Roethke are requesting to change the zoning classification on PIN: 052-00572-0000 from FPP to A1 on +-24 ac. To allow an addition to be constructed on to existing house.

In Favor: John Roethke, and Potosi Twp. approved on November 2, 2015.

In Opposition: None

In Interest: None

Mark Stead closed the Public Hearing.

Motion by Pat Schroeder that the items are concurrent with all the conditions on the Farmland Preservation worksheet and to recommend approval of the rezone to the full County Board, seconded by Roger Guthrie. Motion carried. (See Attachment E Worksheet)

POWTS Permit Fee Increase

Lynda is requesting a fee increase from \$300.00 to \$400.00 to help offset the lost income of decreased permits issued. Motion by Pat Schroeder, seconded by Gary Northouse to approve the fee increase. Motion carried.

Zoning and Sanitation Report

Lynda presented the zoning and sanitation report. She noted that the sanitary permits are down by 15 from 2014. The request for the sanitary permit increase is because the sanitary permit applications have started to

decrease. The maintenance forms are up \$579.00. The rezones are also up 19 from 2014. Motion by Dwight Nelson, seconded by Lester Jantzen to accept the sanitation and zoning report. Motion carried.

Adoption of Updated Zoning Ordinance

Lynda reported that the DNR has updated the floodplain maps and by February we will need to have the updated floodplain maps adopted into our existing floodplain ordinance. There will be public notices and public hearings advertised. The Adoption of the Updated Zoning Ordinance will on the January agenda.

County Cost Sharing

Lynda presented 2 county cost share cancellation requests: one for Chris and Barbara Neises, Patch Grove Twp., on a rip rap project for \$3,344.50, they are receiving more than 75% cost sharing through EQIP; and Travis Mumm, Clifton Twp., for 10.3 ac. of rye cover crop for \$116.00, he has failed to provide the required information for the program. Motion by Gary Northouse, seconded by Dwight Nelson to approve both cancellations. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for county cost sharing on a well decommissioning for Jeremiah Collins, Fennimore Twp., for \$402.22. Motion by Pat Schroeder, seconded by Roger Guthrie to approve payment. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for county cost sharing on a well decommissioning for Ronnie Rech, Little Grant Twp., for \$265.00. Motion by Dwight Nelson, seconded by Pat Schroeder to approve payment. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for county cost sharing on a well decommissioning for Dwight Frear, Potosi Twp., site #1 for \$270.73. Motion by Roger Guthrie, seconded by Lester Jantzen to approve payment. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for county cost sharing on a well decommissioning for Dwight Frear, Potosi Twp., site #2 for \$190.87. Motion by Lester Jantzen, seconded by Dwight Nelson to approve payment. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for county cost sharing on a well decommissioning for Dwight Frear, Potosi Twp., site #3 for \$500.00. Motion by Pat Schroeder, seconded by Gary Northouse to approve payment. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for county cost sharing on a waste storage abandonment for Klinge Family LLC, Platteville Twp., for \$2,130.46. Motion by Mark Stead, seconded by Lester Jantzen to approve payment. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for county cost sharing on a waste storage abandonment for Dwight Frear, Potosi Twp., for \$1,980.00. Motion by Lester Jantzen, seconded by Dwight Nelson to approve payment. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for county cost sharing on a streambank protection project for Allen and Peggy Briggs, Patch Grove Twp., for \$5,146.20, and 2014 DATCP cost sharing of \$10,292.40 for a total of \$15,438.60. Motion by Lester Jantzen, seconded by Gary Northouse to approve both payments. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for county cost sharing on a roof runoff project for Gay Brechler, Mt. Ida Twp., for \$3,001.89. Motion by Roger Guthrie, seconded by Lester Jantzen to approve payment. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented tentative approval request for county cost sharing on a well decommissioning for Loran Montgomery, Harrison Twp., for \$500.00. Motion by Dwight Nelson, seconded by Gary Northouse to approve Loran's request. Motion carried.

Lynda presented tentative approval request for county cost sharing on a grade stabilization structure for Dennis Kaiser, Jamestown Twp., for \$3,500.00. Motion by Pat Schroeder, seconded by Gary Northouse to approve Dennis's request. Motion carried.

SWRM Cost Sharing

2014 DATCP Cost Sharing

Lynda presented 2, 2014 DATCP cost share cancellation requests: one for Joe Zenz, Ellenboro Twp., on a grade stabilization structure for \$8,400.00, and Sue Funk, Liberty Twp., on a spring development project for \$9,088.20. Motion by Pat Schroeder, seconded by Roger Guthrie to approve both cancellations. Motion carried.

Lynda presented final approval request for 2014 DATCP cost sharing on a grade stabilization structure for Tim Pitzen, Paris Twp., for \$8,921.65. Motion by Gary Northouse, seconded by Lester Jantzen to approve payment. Roll Call; 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

Lynda presented a tentative approval request for 2015 DATCP cost sharing on a grade stabilization structure for Steve Adrian, Glen Haven Twp., \$5,250.00. Motion by Dwight Nelson, seconded by Roger Guthrie to approve Steve's request. Motion carried.

Lynda presented a tentative approval request for 2015 DATCP cost sharing on a grade stabilization structure for Mark Hudson, North Potosi Twp., \$7,067.70. Motion by Lester Jantzen, seconded by Gary Northouse to approve Mark's request. Motion carried.

2015 NOI/NOD

Lynda presented final approval request for 2015 DATCP cost sharing on a stream crossing for John Ragatz, Beetown Twp., \$4,721.25. Motion by Pat Schroeder, seconded by Dwight Nelson to approve payment. Roll Call: 6 Yes, 0 No, 1 Excused. Motion carried.

County/SWRM Cost Share Policy – increase in maximum amount allowed

Lynda is requesting to increase the County Cost Share minimum from \$3,500 to \$5,000 for structural practices, and requesting to increase the SWRM Cost Share minimum from \$7,000 to \$10,000 for all practices. Motion by Pat Schroeder, seconded by Roger Guthrie to increase the county cost sharing from 75% up to \$5,000, and to increase DATCP cost sharing on all practices from 70% to \$10,000. Motion carried.

2015 SWRM Extension

Lynda reported that there are 8 landowners that have DATCP funding that have not installed their practices. These 8 people are requesting a 1 year extension, to the end of 2016, to install their practices. Lynda is requesting an extension of \$44,727.70 of DATCP funding from the state. Motion by Gary Northouse, seconded by Lester Jantzen to approve the extension request. Motion carried.

2015 NMFE Grant Extension

Lynda reported that the Nutrient Management Farmer Education Training course starts in 2015, however we don't expend the \$8,000 funds until 2016. Motion by Lester Jantzen, seconded by Dwight Nelson to approve the \$8,000 Grant extension into 2016. Motion carried.

Storage Permit Approvals – None to report

CSZD Administrator Report

Lynda gave an update on the Helmuth Krause situation. Since the last County Board meeting she has heard nothing from Helmuth Krause. During the last County Board meeting he stated during public comment that he had to hire a lawyer to obtain a copy of his zoning permit as a public records request. Lynda reported that Helmuth Krause had never made a public record request himself and he did not need a lawyer to do so. Lynda did not/does not require people to hire lawyers to obtain a public records requests.

Lynda reported that the nutrient crediting class is tomorrow, December 2, 2015 at UW Platteville. There were initially 8 students signed up, however 1 student decided to sign up at Southwest Tech, and 1 went with an agronomist, which leaves 6 students remaining in the class. Ted Bay and Chris Baxter are the 2 instructors that teach that course.

Lynda reported that the WI Fund money has been received by the County. We received \$80,176.98. Notifications have been sent out to 29 grant recipients.

Lynda reported that the December Board of Adjustment meeting was cancelled, because the landowner did not get all the information to us in time. It has been rescheduled for January 14, 2016.

FPP Report: Kevin Lange

Kevin completed a Certificate of Compliance for Addison Family LLC, Wingville Twp. for the year of 2015.

- ✤ Has completed a few more septic installation inspections while Jeff and David were out of the office.
- Helped Randy and Erik check some rip rap, waterway, and dam projects.
- Reported that he had marked out a small contour strip job.
- ✤ Is working with the FSA office on a couple of CREP contracts.
- ✤ Has the 2016 Tree Sale Order Forms ready to go.

NRCS Report: Joe Schmelz

- The EQIP application deadline that happened in October, still don't have the ranking deadline yet. The questions from National and State have not been finalized yet.
- ✤ Joe reported a correction from the November 3, 2015 minutes. It was reported that the next batching date was to be in February, however, the next batching date will be March 4, 2016.
- Since the start of the last fiscal year there has been a significant progress in the EQIP, they have closed out over 60 EQIP contracts. Lots of projects are getting done. From 2012 to 2015 we have averaged over \$900,000 a year through EQIP contracts.
- Have had no word and no rules on the new CSP Program. Once the information is released, they will take a day to host a couple of sessions to go over program rules and how it works. There were approximately 60 original CSP contracts and are now down to approximately 43 CSP contracts that are complete. Those landowners with expired CSP contracts would like to re-enroll.
- We are receiving CRP contracts and applications from FSA. At this time FSA has approximately 150 new CRP applications. Have processed 17 applications of those 150 applications that contain approximately 1,200 acres. Which averages about 60 to 70 acres per CRP contract.
- The General CRP Sign Up starts today December 1, 2015. There are approximately 84-86 expiring CRP contracts.
- Working on the HELI Sign Up which is like a continuous sign up for ridge top fields. Paying \$240 to \$290 per ac.

FSA Report: Tammy Eibey

Tammy turned in a report for Mark to present to the committee. Mark read the report to the committee. See Attached Report.

RC&D/WLWCA/LWCB Report: Dale Hood

None to Report

Motion by Dwight Nelson, seconded by Lester Jantzen to adjourn until January 5, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. Motion carried.

Respectfully Submitted by Annette Lolwing for Lester Jantzen

Grant County FSA Report December 1, 2015 Submitted by: Tammy Eibey, CED

DEADLINES!

December 1......General sign up begins for CRP – Signup ends Feb. 26, 2016.

December 1...... 2016 Contract Enrollment begins- Ends August 1, 2016

December 7......Official ballot for FSA committee elections (FSA-669) must be returned to County FSA Office or postmarked.

Ongoing......Continuous Conservation Reserve Program Enrollment.

We processed payments starting with the Conservation Reserve Program and then moved into the ARC 2014 payment process. Corn, Soybeans and Wheat triggered a payment and we are working on getting those out to producers. Over 9.3 million in Grant County alone for the ARC County program participants. PLC did not trigger any payments.

Our County Committee Election will end December 7th and we will count ballots on December 14th in the office. Ballots will be mailed November 9th to voters in the townships of Ellenboro, Lima, Harrison, Platteville, Paris, Smelser, Jamestown and Hazel Green. Running for LAA 3 are

We have a high interest in the CRP/HELI program here in Grant County. We are trying to get the cropping history information completed and working with the producers to determine what they actually want to enroll. Some producers are slow to get back in touch with us but the staff is continuing to make progress. I feel they are working well with the conservation staff.

Our next COC meeting is scheduled for December 14 at 8:30 am in the FSA office. We will be counting the election ballots at that time.

Please contact me at 608-723-7697 if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Tammy Eibey

Attachment (A) Worksheet

Review of Standards for Rezoning Land out of Farmland Preservation

Date: 12/1/15_

Landowner: Bernard Lease

The Grant County Board may not rezone land out of a farmland preservation zoning district unless the Grant County Zoning and Sanitation Committee finds all of the following in writing, after public hearing, as part of the official record of the rezoning:

1. The rezoned land is better suited for a use not allowed in the farmland preservation zoning district.



or

Explain: Seritable place for a house No

2. The rezoning is consistent with any comprehensive plan, adopted by the Grant County Board which is in effect at the time of the rezoning.

(Ves) or No or N/A Explain: Liberty tourship Days it is Consistant w (their plan

- 3. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Grant County farmland preservation plan policy.
 - 5.1: Non- farm development will be directed to non-agricultural soils or less productive agricultural soils, consistent with the needs of the development. **Yes No**
 - 5.2 Non-farm development will be directed to areas where it will cause minimum disruption of established farm operations or damage to environmentally sensitive areas **Yes** No
 - 5.3 Non-farm development will be encouraged to locate so as to leave a maximum amount of farmland in farmable size parcels. (Yes) No
 - 5.4 Non-farm residential development will be directed to existing platted subdivisions and sanitary districts. Yes No (V/A)
 - 5.5 Agriculturally-related development, while not discouraged in rural areas, will still comply with other policies set forth in this section, consistent with being located where it will be a maximum benefit to agriculture. Yes No (N/A)
- 6 The rezoning will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.

$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$	6.4	Located i	n a Farm	land preservation zoning district
				nland Preservation Agreement
				icultural conservation easement
				protected from nonagricultural development
Ŷe	s	or	No	
Explair	n:			

7 The CSZC recommends/does not recommend approval to the Grant County Board of Supervisors (Circle one)

Attachment(B) Worksheet.

Review of Standards for Rezoning Land out of Farmland Preservation

Date: 12/115 Landowner: Seffrey & Angela Udelhopen

The Grant County Board may not rezone land out of a farmland preservation zoning district unless the Grant County Zoning and Sanitation Committee finds all of the following in writing, after public hearing, as part of the official record of the rezoning:

1. The rezoned land is better suited for a use not allowed in the farmland preservation zoning district.

NOT from tan ground
The rezoning is consistent with any comprehensive plan, adopted by the Grant County Board which is in effect at the time of the rezoning.

Yes	or	No	or	N/A

Explain:

- 3. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Grant County farmland preservation plan policy.
 - 5.1: Non-farm development will be directed to non-agricultural soils or less productive agricultural soils, consistent with the needs of the development. **Yes** No
 - 5.2 Non-farm development will be directed to areas where it will cause minimum disruption of established farm operations or damage to environmentally sensitive areas. (Yes) No
 - 5.3 Non-farm development will be encouraged to locate so as to leave a maximum amount of farmland in farmable size parcels. (Yes) No
 - 5.4 Non-farm residential development will be directed to existing platted subdivisions and sanitary districts. Yes No
 - 5.5 Agriculturally-related development, while not discouraged in rural areas, will still comply with other policies set forth in this section, consistent with being located where it will be a maximum benefit to agriculture. Yes No (MA)

6 The rezoning will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.

61	Located in	n a Earmland	procomution	zoning district
0.4	LUCALEU	li a raimianu	Dreservation	ZODINg DISTRICT
				a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a

- 6.5 Covered by a Farmland Preservation Agreement
- 6.6 Covered by an agricultural conservation easement
- 6.7 Otherwise legally protected from nonagricultural development

Yes or No

Explain: Not prime farm ground

7 The CSZC recommends/does not recommend approval to the Grant County Board of Supervisors Duight/lesk

Attachment (C) Worksheet.

Review of Standards for Rezoning Land out of Farmland Preservation

Date: 12/1/15 Landowner: Tiffany & Christopher Hintze

The Grant County Board may not rezone land out of a farmland preservation zoning district unless the Grant County Zoning and Sanitation Committee finds all of the following in writing, after public hearing, as part of the official record of the rezoning:

1. The rezoned land is better suited for a use not allowed in the farmland preservation zoning district.



2. The rezoning is consistent with any comprehensive plan, adopted by the Grant County Board which is in effect at the time of the rezoning.

Yes No Explain: approved by Pairs tourship 11/10/15

- 3. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Grant County farmland preservation plan policy.
 - 5.1: Non- farm development will be directed to non-agricultural soils or less productive agricultural soils, consistent with the needs of the development. **Yes No**
 - 5.2 Non-farm development will be directed to areas where it will cause minimum disruption of established farm operations or damage to environmentally sensitive areas. Yes No
 - 5.3 Non-farm development will be encouraged to locate so as to leave a maximum amount of farmland in farmable size parcels. **Yes No**
 - 5.4 Non-farm residential development will be directed to existing platted subdivisions and sanitary districts. Yes No N/A
 - 5.5 Agriculturally-related development, while not discouraged in rural areas, will still comply with other policies set forth in this section, consistent with being located where it will be a maximum benefit to agriculture. Yes No N/μ

Lester Crown

6 The rezoning will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.

(6.4 Located in a Farmland preservation zoning district)
	6.5 Covered by a Farmland Preservation Agreement
	6.6 Covered by an agricultural conservation easement

6.7 Otherwise legally protected from nonagricultural development

Yes or

Explain:

7 The CSZC (ecommends) does not recommend approval to the Grant County Board of Supervisors (Circle one)

No

Attachment (D) Worksheet

Review of Standards for Rezoning Land out of Farmland Preservation

Date: $|\partial | | 5$

Landowner: Stelpflug Living Trust-

The Grant County Board may not rezone land out of a farmland preservation zoning district unless the Grant County Zoning and Sanitation Committee finds all of the following in writing, after public hearing, as part of the official record of the rezoning:

1. The rezoned land is better suited for a use not allowed in the farmland preservation zoning district.

Explain: Land is not prime form ground Yes No or

2. The rezoning is consistent with any comprehensive plan, adopted by the Grant County Board which is in effect at the time of the rezoning.

(Yes) No or N/A Explain: Potosi township stated if is in Compliance w/

- 3. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Grant County farmland preservation plan policy.
 - 5.1: Non- farm development will be directed to non-agricultural soils or less productive agricultural soils, consistent with the needs of the development. (Yes) No
 - 5.2 Non-farm development will be directed to areas where it will cause minimum disruption of established farm operations or damage to environmentally sensitive areas. (Yes) No
 - 5.3 Non-farm development will be encouraged to locate so as to leave a maximum amount of farmland in farmable size parcels. (Yes) No
 - 5.4 Non-farm residential development will be directed to existing platted subdivisions and sanitary districts. Yes No (N/A)
 - 5.5 Agriculturally-related development, while not discouraged in rural areas, will still comply with other policies set forth in this section, consistent with being located where it will be a maximum benefit to agriculture. Yes No (N/A)

6 The rezoning will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.

6.4 Located in a Farmland preservation zoning district)

- 6.5 Covered by a Farmland Preservation Agreement
- 6.6 Covered by an agricultural conservation easement
- 6.7 Otherwise legally protected from nonagricultural development

Yes or No Explain: Not prime farm ground

7 The CSZC recommends/does not recommend approval to the Grant County Board of Supervisors Lester Roger (Circle one)

Attachment (E) Worksheet

Review of Standards for Rezoning Land out of Farmland Preservation

Date: 12/1/15 Landowner: John & Barbara Roeth Ke

The Grant County Board may not rezone land out of a farmland preservation zoning district unless the Grant County Zoning and Sanitation Committee finds all of the following in writing, after public hearing, as part of the official record of the rezoning:

1. The rezoned land is better suited for a use not allowed in the farmland preservation zoning district.

2. The rezoning is consistent with any comprehensive plan, adopted by the Grant County Board which is in effect at the time of the rezoning.

- 3. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Grant County farmland preservation plan policy.
 - 5.1: Non-farm development will be directed to non-agricultural soils or less productive agricultural soils, consistent with the needs of the development. (Yes) No Not Prime farm ground
 - 5.2 Non-farm development will be directed to areas where it will cause minimum disruption of established farm operations or damage to environmentally sensitive areas. Yes No
 - 5.3 Non-farm development will be encouraged to locate so as to leave a maximum amount of farmland in farmable size parcels. (Yes) No
 - 5.4 Non-farm residential development will be directed to existing platted subdivisions and sanitary districts. Yes No (NA)
 - 5.5 Agriculturally-related development, while not discouraged in rural areas, will still comply with other policies set forth in this section, consistent with being located where it will be a maximum benefit to agriculture. Yes No (N/R)
- 6 The rezoning will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.
 - 6.4 Located in a Farmland preservation zoning district
 - 6.5 Covered by a Farmland Preservation Agreement
 - 6.6 Covered by an agricultural conservation easement
 - 6.7 Otherwise legally protected from nonagricultural development

Yeś or Explain: Not prime form ground

7 The CSZC recommends does not recommend approval to the Grant County Board of Supervisors Part Roger